



Distr. General
16 de mayo de 2005



**Programa de las
Naciones Unidas para
el Medio Ambiente**

Español
Original: **Inglés**

**Grupo de Trabajo de composición abierta de las
Partes en el Protocolo de Montreal relativo a las
sustancias que agotan la capa de ozono**
25ª Reunión
Montreal, 27 a 30 de junio de 2005

**Informe del Comité Ejecutivo sobre los usos de Agentes de Procesos en los
Países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 y sus
niveles de emisión relacionados**

Introducción

1. En la Decisión X/14, las Partes solicitaron al Grupo de Evaluación Tecnológica y Económica (GETE) y al Comité Ejecutivo que informen a la Reunión de las Partes en 2001 sobre los progresos conseguidos en la reducción de emisiones de sustancias controladas procedentes de los usos como agentes de procesos, así como acerca de la aplicación y el desarrollo de técnicas de reducción de las emisiones y procesos alternativos que no utilicen sustancias destructoras del ozono y que examinen los Cuadros A y B de esta decisión y recomienden las modificaciones que estimen oportunas. En respuesta a este pedido, el Comité Ejecutivo preparó el documento UNEP/OzL.Pro.13/8, que presentó a la 13ª Reunión de las Partes en octubre de 2001.
2. La decisión X/14 también indicaba, entre otras cosas, que el Comité Ejecutivo puede considerar una variedad de opciones para los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 para reducir las emisiones de las sustancias controladas procedentes del uso como agentes de procesos a niveles acordados por el Comité Ejecutivo, que resulten rentables sin un abandono indebido de la infraestructura. Los costos adicionales de una variedad de medidas rentables, tales como, por ejemplo, conversiones de procesos, clausura de plantas, tecnologías de control de emisiones y racionalización industrial, para reducir las emisiones de las sustancias controladas a estos niveles deberían resultar admisibles para la financiación con arreglo a las normas y directrices del Comité Ejecutivo del Fondo Multilateral.
3. En la decisión XV/7, las Partes pidieron al Grupo de Evaluación Tecnológica y Económica y al Comité Ejecutivo que informen a la 25ª Reunión del Grupo de Trabajo de composición abierta sobre los progresos conseguidos en la reducción de las emisiones de sustancias controladas derivadas de su uso como agentes de procesos y sobre la aplicación y el desarrollo de técnicas de reducción de las emisiones y procesos alternativos que no utilicen sustancias agotadoras del ozono. El presente documento se ha preparado en respuesta a dicha solicitud en relación con las Partes que operan al amparo del Artículo 5.1 del Protocolo de Montreal.

Antecedentes

4. En la 44 Reunión, el Comité Ejecutivo autorizó a la Secretaría del Fondo a contratar a un experto consultor para elaborar una lista de usos de agentes de procesos en los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 y los correspondientes niveles de emisión, en el entendido de que no se analizarían las opciones para abordar la reducción de emisiones (decisión 44/65). Los resultados se indican en un estudio intitulado “*A study to catalogue process agent uses and emissions levels involving substances controlled under the Montreal Protocol in countries operating under Article 5.1 of the Protocol*” (Estudio para catalogar los usos como agentes de procesos y los niveles de emisiones de sustancias controladas conforme al Protocolo de Montreal en los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5.1 del Protocolo). El estudio se reproduce en forma completa en el anexo I de este documento.

5. La metodología aplicada en el estudio incluyó una encuesta de usos de agentes de procesos en los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 realizada por medio de cuestionarios a los países con potencial consumo de agentes de procesos, un análisis de las respuestas a la encuesta, un análisis de la información proporcionada en todos los documentos de proyectos de agentes de procesos presentados al Comité Ejecutivo y un análisis de los datos de consumo notificados oficialmente a la Secretarías del Fondo y del Ozono. Las principales conclusiones se han incorporado en este informe.

6. La encuesta de los países se realizó por medio de un cuestionario distribuido a 26 países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5. Se seleccionó a esos 26 países porque habían informado explícitamente un consumo en el sector de agentes de procesos o bien habían informado un consumo mayor que 1 tonelada PAO de una o más de las tres SAO identificadas como agentes de procesos en informes anteriores (es decir, CTC, CFC-113 y bromoclorometano (BCM)). Se tomó esta medida a fin de asegurar que los usos como agentes de procesos no se pasaran por alto inadvertidamente, habiéndoselos informado anteriormente como usos como solventes. El estudio técnico incluye una descripción completa de la metodología, así como los cuestionarios.

Niveles de consumo de SAO como agentes de procesos en los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5

7. Sobre la base de la información sobre los usos de agentes de procesos notificados en la encuesta realizada como parte del estudio y los detalles de consumo en el nivel de las empresas proporcionada en los documentos de procesos, la cantidad total de usos como agentes de procesos identificada en los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 es de 13 623 toneladas PAO. Los datos proporcionados en la encuesta correspondían a 2003. Los datos de los documentos del proyecto cubrían los años 2000 a 2002.

8. Del uso total identificado de alrededor de 13 600 toneladas PAO, alrededor de 13 500 toneladas PAO son de CTC. Entre las restantes, se identificaron 40 toneladas PAO de CFC-113 en un país que opera al amparo del Artículo 5, y 12 toneladas PAO de BCM en un único uso en otro país que opera al amparo del Artículo 5.

9. Noventa y siete por ciento del uso total identificado fue notificado por tres países: China (10 538 toneladas PAO), India (2 268 toneladas PAO) y República Popular Democrática de Corea (432 toneladas PAO).

10. Alrededor de 94% del uso identificado, que asciende a 12 800 toneladas PAO, se produce en aplicaciones que han sido aprobadas como agentes de procesos en las decisiones XV/6 y XV/7 de las Partes. El 6% restante, es decir 817 toneladas PAO, se usan en 18 aplicaciones que no están incluidas en estas decisiones. Seis de las 18 aplicaciones fueron recomendadas como agentes de procesos en el informe del GETE de 2004 sobre la base de los datos notificados por la República Popular Democrática de Corea (cuatro aplicaciones) y Rumanía (dos aplicaciones), pero la 16ª Reunión de las Partes no adoptó una decisión al respecto. Una de las 18 aplicaciones estaba incluida en la lista original de la decisión X/14 pero fue eliminada de la lista por medio de la decisión XV/6. Las 11 aplicaciones restantes no parecen haber sido presentadas al GETE en esta etapa. En el estudio técnico se indica que una de estas 11 aplicaciones, es decir, el uso de bromoclorometano en Turquía, puede ser un uso como materia prima en lugar de una aplicación como agente de proceso.

11. Los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 también han proporcionado información acerca del consumo nacional en el sector de agentes de procesos en los informes anuales a la Secretaría del Fondo acerca de la marcha de la ejecución de los programas de país y a la Secretaría del Ozono tal como lo requiere el Artículo 7 del Protocolo. La suma del consumo más reciente de agentes de procesos notificado a la Secretaría del Fondo en

los datos de los programas de país es de 21 185 toneladas PAO. Los detalles del consumo nacional de agentes de procesos notificado se pueden consultar en la tabla incluida en el anexo II de este informe.

12. Existe una importante discrepancia entre el uso total de agentes de procesos derivado de la información en el nivel de los proyectos ([13 598 toneladas PAO](#)) y el consumo en el sector de agentes de procesos notificado a la Secretaría del Fondo en los programas de país ([21.194 toneladas PAO en 2003](#)). Asimismo, el consumo oficialmente notificado en sí mismo varía en gran medida de año en año ([11 282 toneladas PAO en 2001 y 5 914 en 2002](#)). En la tabla del Anexo II se presenta también una comparación completa entre el uso de agentes de procesos identificado y el consumo de agentes de procesos notificado oficialmente. Dichas discrepancias pueden deberse a uno o más de los siguientes factores:

- incertidumbre que surge del cálculo “de arriba hacia abajo” del consumo de agentes de proceso, comenzando por la producción anual, más importaciones, menos exportaciones, menos las cantidades usadas para materia prima y otros fines, sin tomar en cuenta los cambios anuales en las existencias;
- incertidumbre que surge de las diferentes interpretaciones de la definición de uso controlado, tomando en cuenta las aplicaciones como agentes de procesos aprobadas, otras posibles aplicaciones como agentes de procesos y uso como materia prima, e;
- incertidumbre en los cálculos “de abajo hacia arriba” que dependen de la identificación de todos los usos en el nivel de las empresas en un país.

13. Respecto del último punto, una Parte, a saber Irán, comentó explícitamente en su respuesta a la encuesta que se requerían trabajos adicionales para identificar otros posibles usos como agentes de procesos en el país.

Actividades del Fondo Multilateral para eliminar el consumo de agentes de procesos

14. Como seguimiento a la decisión X/14, el Comité Ejecutivo adoptó, en la decisión 27/78 de la 27ª Reunión, un conjunto de directrices y principios básicos para un marco para considerar las propuestas relacionadas con los agentes de procesos. Se adjunta a este informe el texto de la decisión 27/78 (Anexo III). Estas directrices señalaron que, a medida que se fueran considerando y aprobando nuevos proyectos, se iría formando un conjunto de información respecto de la rentabilidad, los límites de emisiones y otros requisitos relativos a la admisibilidad y la determinación de los costos adicionales.

15. Tomando en cuenta estas directrices y dentro de las listas de usos como agentes de procesos aprobadas por medio de la decisión X/14 y, posteriormente, las decisiones XV/6 y XV/7 de las Partes, el Comité Ejecutivo ha aprobado 13 proyectos individuales para eliminar el consumo de 1 214 toneladas PAO de CTC usado como agente de proceso, con un costo total de 5 192 304 \$EUA (Anexo IV). Los proyectos individuales más recientes se aprobaron en diciembre de 2001. Todos han empleado conversión de procesos para eliminar completamente del uso de CTC, con lo que se hace innecesario el requisito de especificar niveles aceptables de emisiones residuales.

16. También se han aprobado en principio tres planes nacionales plurianuales de eliminación de CTC (China, República Popular Democrática de Corea e India), a un costo total de 122 684 044 \$EUA (con inclusión de eliminación de la producción de CTC en China e India), que ya se han comenzado a financiar por medio de tramos anuales.

17. Los proyectos para China y República Popular Democrática de Corea incluyen disposiciones que estipulan que los países pueden solicitar asistencia adicional del Fondo Multilateral para eliminar completamente un nivel específico de consumo en aplicaciones como agentes de procesos identificadas que no se incluyeron en la decisión X/14 como usos aprobados como agentes de procesos en el momento en que el Comité Ejecutivo consideró dichos proyectos. Los usos adicionales de China se aprobaron posteriormente conforme a la decisión XV/6. La República Popular Democrática de Corea aún tiene cuatro aplicaciones que no están incluidas en la lista de aplicaciones aprobadas en la decisión XV/6. Las cuatro aplicaciones se encontraban entre aquellas recomendadas por el GETE en su informe de 2004. La India ha identificado ocho usos que no están incluidos en la decisión XV/6; sin embargo, la India ha acordado con el Comité Ejecutivo eliminar todo el consumo de CTC sin otra asistencia del Fondo y el acuerdo le otorga flexibilidad para reasignar la financiación de la manera que mejor facilite la eliminación.

18. Dado que los tres principales consumidores, que representan 97% del consumo total, tiene todos planes nacionales de eliminación vigentes o planes previstos para los nuevos usos, el consumo de usos como agentes de procesos, tal como se los define en el Protocolo de Montreal, cesará en estos países cuando se hayan completado los proyectos del Fondo Multilateral, independientemente de las discrepancias actuales en los datos.

19. Si se incluye a Pakistán, el único otro país con un proyecto para agentes de procesos financiado por el Fondo Multilateral, la financiación de alrededor de 98% del total de los usos de SAO como agentes de procesos identificado en los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 ya ha sido abordada o se ha cuantificado y ha sido reconocida por el Comité Ejecutivo como potencialmente admisible para solicitudes de financiación futuras. En el estudio técnico se señala que se ha cubierto el total de los usos actualmente identificados, salvo el 0,2%, en los documentos de proyecto.

20. Con posterioridad a la encuesta realizada como parte del estudio técnico, China notificó al Comité Ejecutivo en su 45ª Reunión que ahora se habían identificado una variedad de usos como agentes de procesos con un nivel importante de consumo de CTC (alrededor de 3 000 toneladas PAO) en China. Los usos recientemente identificados no están incluidos en las aplicaciones detalladas en las decisiones X/14 o XV/6. El Acuerdo existente entre China y el Comité Ejecutivo para la eliminación de la producción y el consumo de CTC estipula que todo el consumo adicional a los usos identificados en el plan sectorial serían eliminados por China sin costo adicional para el Fondo Multilateral.

Controles de emisiones en comparación con cambio de proceso

21. Las decisiones X/14 y XV/7 previeron que se formaría un conjunto de información a partir de la aplicación y el desarrollo de técnicas de reducción de emisiones, entre otras cosas. A este fin, y de conformidad con las directrices para los proyectos de agentes de procesos establecidas por el Comité Ejecutivo en la decisión 27/78, todos los proyectos individuales considerados por el Comité Ejecutivo contenían un examen de las repercusiones de los controles de emisiones en comparación con la alternativa de eliminar el uso de la SAO cuestión (generalmente, CTC) por medio de la sustitución del proceso. En todos los casos, se determinó que los controles de emisiones resultaban sustancialmente más costosos o bien no resultaban viables desde el punto de vista tecnológico. Los tres planes nacionales de eliminación de CTC también incluyen propuestas para cambiar el proceso y eliminar el uso de la SAO en cuestión para todas las aplicaciones como agente de proceso si se hubiera identificado una alternativa tecnológica.

22. En términos generales, alrededor de 91% de la eliminación de SAO como agentes de procesos financiada o identificada en los proyectos individuales o en los planes nacionales de eliminación se logrará por medio de cambios de tecnología a una sustancia que no esté controlada o por medio del cierre de las plantas. Se espera lograr sólo 9% de la eliminación por medio de los controles de emisiones para reducir al mínimo, capturar y destruir las sustancias controladas que se liberan a la atmósfera, y este porcentaje podría disminuir si se identificaran alternativas tecnológicas para el cambio de proceso en las aplicaciones pertinentes antes de las fechas de ejecución previstas en los subproyectos que se ocupan de estas aplicaciones.

23. El 9% que se propone abordar por medio de controles de emisiones se relaciona con tres procesos en China para los cuales el organismo de ejecución no ha podido hallar aún un proceso alternativo. Sólo una de las tres aplicaciones, que conlleva el uso de CTC, representa el 8,6% de esta cantidad. También se debe abordar por medio de un cambio en el proceso para eliminar el uso de CTC en una aplicación similar, aunque no idéntica, en la República Popular Democrática de Corea. Esto indica que podría existir la posibilidad de que la aplicación de China también utilice este cambio de proceso. El Comité Ejecutivo ha invitado al organismo de ejecución pertinente a que investigue esta cuestión.

24. Todavía no se dispone de detalles acerca de las tecnologías propuestas para lograr las reducciones de emisiones en estas tres aplicaciones, ya que las actividades están programadas para años futuros del plan nacional para los que no hay detalles disponibles actualmente.

25. Cuando se adoptaron la decisión X/14 y las decisiones posteriores del Comité Ejecutivo, se presuponía que el control de las emisiones desempeñaría una importante función en la eliminación de SAO en los usos como agentes de procesos, pero ése no ha sido el caso. En el caso de que se continuaran proponiendo en el futuro técnicas de control de emisiones para una o más de las tres aplicaciones de China, o para otros usos no

identificados aún, el Comité Ejecutivo considerará la identificación de niveles que se puedan alcanzarse razonablemente de manera rentable sin un abandono indebido de la infraestructura, como lo requiere la decisión X/14, caso por caso.

Niveles de emisiones en comparación con el consumo

26. La información contenida en los documentos de proyecto y en las respuestas a la encuesta no indican que ninguna de las Partes que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 esté recolectando y destruyendo las emisiones de SAO provenientes de las aplicaciones como agentes de procesos. Tomando en cuenta esta información, en todos los casos, la cantidad de "emisiones" que se liberan al medio ambiente es igual a la cantidad utilizada para reponer el material en el proceso, es decir, la "cantidad aportada" que se informa como consumo en el nivel de los proyectos. Esto se puede comparar con el nivel de emisiones "insignificantes" indicado en el Cuadro B de la decisión X/14 que se aplica a las Partes que no operan al amparo del Artículo 5, en las que el nivel medio de emisiones es menos que 5% de la cantidad aportada.

Conclusiones

27. Según la encuesta y el análisis de la información de consumo contenida en los proyectos presentados al Comité Ejecutivo, el consumo de SAO como agentes de procesos total identificado por los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 es de alrededor de 13 600 toneladas PAO; prácticamente el total de esta cantidad es CTC.

28. Alrededor de 98% del total será eliminado por medio de la ejecución de proyectos individuales y tres planes nacionales de eliminación de CTC ya financiados o aprobados en principio por el Comité Ejecutivo, o bien se ha cuantificado en los planes nacionales pertinentes y ha sido reconocido por el Comité Ejecutivo como potencialmente admisible para solicitudes de financiación futuras.

29. Se propone lograr la eliminación de SAO, que comprende alrededor de 91% de la eliminación total prevista en los proyectos aprobados o planificados, por medio de un cambio de tecnología de proceso para permitir el uso de un agente de proceso que no sea una sustancia controlada o bien por medio del cierre de las plantas. El cambio de proceso con emisiones residuales nulas se ha convertido, por lo tanto, en la modalidad predominante para lograr la eliminación en el sector de agentes de procesos en los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5.

30. No se ha recibido información que indique que ninguno de los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5 esté recolectando y destruyendo actualmente las emisiones de las aplicaciones como agentes de procesos. Por lo tanto, las cantidades de SAO que se notifican como consumo en el nivel de los proyectos se liberan al medio ambiente.

Annex I

**A Study to Catalogue Process Agent Uses and Emissions
Levels Involving Substances Controlled under the
Montreal Protocol in Countries Operating under Article
5.1 of the Protocol**

Archie McCulloch

Marbury Technical Consulting
and
University of Bristol,
United Kingdom

March 2005

Marbury
Technical Consulting

Barrymore, Marbury Road, Comberbach, CW9 6AU, UK

Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	3
Feedstock Uses	4
Emissions	5
Methodology	8
Results	10
Conclusions	16
References	17
Appendix A. Definition of Process Agent	21
Appendix B. Technical Annex	22
Appendix C. Example of Specific Questionnaire for a Country with a National Emissions Reduction Plan	30
Appendix D. Form of General Questionnaire	32

Disclaimer

While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the text the author does not accept any responsibility for errors and/or omissions however caused and accepts no responsibility for subsequent use of the information contained in this report.

Executive Summary

The aim of this study is to catalogue process agent uses and related emission levels in countries operating under Article 5.1 of the Montreal Protocol (developing countries). Analysis of options for emissions reductions was specifically excluded.

The survey involved a desk study of annual ODS consumption data, provided by the Parties, to the Ozone Secretariat under Article 7 of the Protocol and to the Fund Secretariat under annual reports on the progress of implementation of country programmes. These, together with the project plans and phase-out plans provided most of the information. The study was followed up by a questionnaire to relevant Article 5.1 countries to ascertain their current usage of controlled substances for process agent applications and the levels of emissions from the processes. Use of controlled substances as chemical feedstocks for fluorocarbons manufacture in the People's Republic of China and in India and for the production of the intermediate chemical DV acid chloride in India were not included in this study.

Some 26 countries were surveyed; the criterion for inclusion being process agent or solvent use of a controlled substance comprising more than 1 ODP tonne per year. To date, 12 responses have been received. The principal findings from the information in the projects and phase-out plans already held by the Secretariats and the responses to questionnaires are:

- In most cases, the process agent is used as a process solvent. This is particularly so for carbon tetrachloride (CTC) which constitutes all but 0.4% of the emissions¹.
- With two exceptions, some form of recycle of the process solvent is carried out. The exceptions are the production of Ketotifen in the People's Republic of China and l-Ascorbic acid in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.
- The most informative measure of the effectiveness of containment of the solvent in the whole process is the use factor (or *usage*); this is the annual quantity of process agent consumed (also known as the "makeup quantity") relative to the annual quantity of product made.
- The closer the use factor is to zero, the more effective is the recycle of process agent and values range from 0.006 to 13.4 for all uses. Even in the six applications using more than 1,000 ODP tonnes per year of process agent, use factors from 0.12 to 1.6 were reported. Thus the effectiveness of recycling is highly variable. Nevertheless, in any particular process, improved recycling would be as effective in reducing process agent emissions as the capture and destruction of the emissions.
- No Party provided evidence for current destruction of process agents and so the quantities that are lost into the environment are equal to the quantities used to replenish material in the process - the "makeup quantities". All of the process agents under consideration will tend to migrate into the atmospheric compartment of the environment (as against water, soil or biota).

¹ The rest of the emissions are CFC-113 and bromochloromethane (BCM).

- The year to year variation in the consumption reported by Parties can be misleading and high. This is particularly the case where process agent uses are calculated as the remainder after accounting for imports, exports, domestic production and feedstock use, without allowing for changes in stock holding (inventory).
- Calculated from the best available data in national plans and the reports to the Protocol Secretariats, total process agent use in Article 5.1 countries was in the region of 13,600 ODP tonnes per year in 2003. However, for the reasons given above, the uncertainty of this number is large. For example, the simple sum of all reported data in 2003 is 23,300 ODP tonnes; a value that is almost certainly in error since it would have required a doubling in process agent use by one Party in direct contravention of its national plan for phase-out.
- The applications of all but 0.2% of the 13,600 ODP tonnes used are described in national plans or in individually approved projects but 7,350 ODP tonnes of this, while already identified in phase-out plans, may be the subject of additional requests for support from the Multilateral Fund.
- Some 94% of the identified consumption is in applications that are now listed as process agents under decisions XV/6 and XV/7 taken at the Fifteenth Meeting of the Parties.
- About 91% of the reduction in process agent use is proposed to be accomplished by changes in technology (including change in the process agent to a substance that is not controlled) or by shutting down the plant. The other 9% is expected to be achieved by emission controls to minimise, capture and destroy controlled substances vented to atmosphere. However, no evidence was presented to indicate that such procedures are happening now.

Introduction

At their fifteenth meeting the Parties to the Montreal Protocol requested the Executive Committee to report to the twenty fifth session of the Open-ended Working Group (in July 2005) on the progress made in reducing emissions of controlled substances from process-agent uses and on the implementation and development of emissions-reduction techniques and alternative processes not using ozone-depleting substances. Subsequently at its 44th Meeting, the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund authorised the study in this form to catalogue process agent uses and related emission levels (excluding the analysis of options for emissions reductions) in countries operating under Article 5.1 of the Montreal Protocol (developing countries).

The survey involved a desk study of annual ODS consumption data provided to the Ozone Secretariat under Article 7 of the Protocol and to the Fund Secretariat under annual reports on progress with implementation of country programmes, followed up by a questionnaire to relevant Article 5 countries to ascertain their usage of controlled substances for process agent applications and the levels of emissions from the processes.

The Nature of Process Agents

A Process Agent is defined in the Process Agent Task Force Report of 1997 [1] as a controlled substance that because of its unique chemical or physical properties facilitates an intended chemical reaction or inhibits an unintended (undesired) chemical reaction. Thus a solvent that facilitates a chemical reaction simply by dissolving the reagents and does not react itself with those reagents meets the criteria for a process agent. Many of the process agent applications described in this report fall into that category.

In a broader context, the chemical and physical properties that make a controlled substance suitable for use as a process agent in a chemical process include:

- chemical inertness in the chemical reaction process,
- appropriate physical properties, e.g.
 - Boiling point
 - Vapour pressure
 - Specific solvency,
- non-flammability and the ability to suppress explosion.

They are used:

- to facilitate reactions, including entering into the reaction acting as chain transfer agents,
- to control the desired physical properties of a process, e.g.,
 - Molecular weight
 - Viscosity,
- to increase plant yield and
- to minimise undesirable by-product formation.

The complete definition is given in Appendix A.

Where the controlled substance is a major component of the reaction mixture and becomes transformed during the reaction and is incorporated chemically into the product, it should be treated as a chemical feedstock.

The process agents considered in this report comprise only those that were listed in the responses from Article 5.1 Parties:

Carbon tetrachloride (CTC, CCl₄),
Fluorotrichloromethane (CFC-12, CCl₂F₂),
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (CFC-113, CCl₂FCClF₂) and
Bromochloromethane (BCM, CH₂ClBr)

and, throughout the report, the materials will be referred to by their short names - CTC, CFC-12, CFC-113 and BCM.

Approved uses covered by Decisions XV/6 and XV/7, taken at the Fifteenth Meeting of the Parties are listed in Appendix B, Table 1, plus brief descriptions of the reasons for using the agent and ways that emissions can be reduced. Appendix B, Table 2 carries a similar list for applications not yet approved. In both cases, only the applications that have been identified by Article 5.1 Parties are listed.

Reductions in emissions may be accomplished in a number of ways through optimisation of the process. On the other hand, elimination of emissions requires more radical approaches. These involve changes to the process to avoid use of controlled substances, shut-down of the process (and cessation of manufacture) or treatment of the process streams that are released into the environment to destroy the controlled substances they contain. The extent of emission of the controlled substance is different for each process agent application.

In the general case where controlled substances are used as process agents, the supply is utilized to replenish process inventory lost as the result of transformation, destruction and emissions to the atmosphere from the process and/or trace quantities slowly emitted from the product. Therefore the supply required for replenishment of lost inventory is referred to as "makeup" and defined as follows:

Make up quantity: The quantity of controlled substance per year, needed to continue the manufacture of products in a plant, due to transformation, destruction and inadvertent losses (i.e. emissions and residual amounts in final product) [2].

Feedstock Uses

Carbon tetrachloride is used in India and China as a chemical feedstock in the manufacture of CFCs 11 and 12 (fluorotrichloromethane and dichlorodifluoromethane), in the course of which all of the quantity used is either chemically converted or lost into the environment from process leaks [3, 4]. It is not a process agent in this application.

Carbon tetrachloride is also used in India as a chemical feedstock to make "DV acid chloride", 3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethyl cyclopropane carbonyl chloride or *cypermethric acid chloride*. This is an intermediate in the manufacture of insecticides. No details of the process were made available but the consumption is significant, at several thousand tonnes per year, and increasing rapidly. Emissions of CTC from the process were stated to be much less than 7% of the make-up quantities and this use is not treated as process agent in this report [3].

Emissions

CTC

This is the most significant process agent in terms of both its range of applications and the quantities involved. In a large number of the processes, CTC is used as a solvent (*see Appendix B, Tables 1 and 2*) to facilitate the chemical reaction. It is recovered and recycled within the process by a variety of means: distillation and decantation being the more common. The recovery and recycle regime can be highly effective; for example in the average chlorinated rubber process in China the instantaneous inventory is in the region of 10 tonnes but some 160 tonnes/year of CTC passes through each processes [4]. It can also be non-existent; in the same country the production of Ketotifen is accompanied by total loss of the 13.4 tonnes of CTC used to make each tonne of product [4]. The data in Appendix B contain values, as reported in the reference documents, for:

The use ratio (also reported as usage). This is the quantity of process agent consumed per unit of product and is a measure of the overall efficiencies of use, recovery and recycle. With total recovery and recycle, the use ratio would be zero but, in practical situations, some makeup quantities are required and some material is destroyed within the process. The use ratio combines all of these influences and so is reported here. Furthermore, most of the reference documents provide enough information to calculate use ratios.

The emission ratio (instantaneous quantity of process agent not recovered relative to the quantity in use). There are many fewer data for this and the number itself is less informative than the use ratio. A low emission ratio simply indicates that only a small proportion of the mass in circulation in the process is lost each time it passes through. That could still mean that the use ratio is significant. For example, if the emission ratio were 4% and 30 tonnes of process agent were circulated for each tonne of product, then 1.2 tonnes of process agent would be lost for each tonne of product, giving a use ratio of 1.2.

None of the processes is completely sealed and losses occur by leakage of CTC directly into the atmosphere (from storage and processing vessels) and also indirectly, after being released into surface water. It has been demonstrated that chlorinated solvent (such as CTC) in a contaminated surface water course rapidly migrates into the atmosphere, rather than remaining in the water [5, 6]

Emission ratios vary from 100% (total loss of the material as it is used) to a few percent (effective recycle procedures) but, in an established process unless specific procedures have been put in place to collect and destroy the potential emissions ("emission control technology"), the quantity required each year for process agent use is equal to the quantity lost into the environment. Although the possibility of emission control technology was discussed in some national plans [3, 4], no party claimed that emission control is currently being operated (or indeed that it has been installed). Consequently, the quantity of material emitted was set equal to the quantity used.

With very few exceptions, the process agents are recycled to some extent through the processes, with varying degrees of success in their recovery and containment. However, for most, if not all, of the CTC uses reported by Article 5.1 Parties, there is no transformation within the process and no deliberate destruction and so make-up quantities are equal to the quantities emitted.

CFC-12

Consumption of this controlled substance as a process agent was reported historically by one Party (see Table 5). While it was thought to be used as a purifying agent in primary aluminium production, the exact nature of the process agent application was not made available and, although the material and this application were included in the survey, no details could be given in Appendix B. No CFC-12 is now used in this application.

CFC-113

The single process agent application for CFC-113 considered here is in the production of fluoropolymer resins. In this case, emissions may be reduced by capture and treatment of the process streams that are released into the atmosphere [4].

BCM

Two uses for this material are included in Appendix B. In the first, the manufacture of the pharmaceutical Losartan Potassium (Losartan K), use of BCM as a process agent was approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7 of the Fifteenth Meeting of Parties. In the second, BCM is a reagent and solvent in the chloromethylation of Sulbactam to make chloromethylpenicillinate-S,S-dioxide. In the course of this reaction it is a chemical reagent that is completely incorporated into the product molecule and gives rise to sodium bromide as a co-product. Although this use is still included in those subsequently listed in this report as process agents, it would appear that it is more accurately characterised as a feedstock.

Box 1.

Article 5.1 Parties reporting consumption under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol

Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Armenia, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belize, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Comoros, Congo, Democratic Republic of Congo, Cook Islands, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, Cuba, Cyprus, Djibouti, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Federated States of Micronesia, Fiji, Gabon, Gambia, Georgia, Ghana, Grenada, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Islamic Republic of Iran, Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kiribati, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Republic of Korea, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Marshall Islands, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Myanmar, Namibia, Nauru, Nepal, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Niue, Oman, Pakistan, Palau, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Romania, Rwanda, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Samoa, Sao Tome and Principe, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Serbia and Montenegro, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, United Republic of Tanzania, Thailand, The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Togo, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Tuvalu, Uganda, United Arab Emirates, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Zambia, Zimbabwe.
Source, reference [7]

Table 1. Summary of Country Studies

Country	Not examined - use less than 1 ODP tonne	Individual Process Agent Application(s)	Process Agent(s) approved as part of National Plan	Potential Process Agent use	Responded to questionnaire	Included in further study
Algeria				✓		
Argentina		✓			✓	✓
Bahamas				✓		
Bahrain	✓					
Bangladesh				✓		
Barbados	✓					
Bolivia	✓					
Brazil		✓				
China, PR			✓			✓
Colombia		✓			✓	✓
Congo, DR				✓		
Cuba	✓					
Egypt		✓			✓	
Ghana	✓					
India			✓			✓
Indonesia				✓		
Iran *				✓	✓	
Jordan				✓	✓	
Korea, DPR			✓			✓
Lebanon	✓					
Mauritius	✓					
Mexico		✓			✓	
Morocco	✓					
Myanmar	✓					
Nepal	✓					
Nigeria				✓		
Oman	✓					
Pakistan		✓				✓
Paraguay				✓		
Peru	✓					
Romania		✓			✓	✓
Sri Lanka		✓			✓	✓
Sudan		✓				
Syria				✓	✓	
Tanzania	✓					
Tunisia	✓					
Turkey		✓			✓	✓
Uganda	✓					
Uruguay	✓					
Venezuela				✓		
Yemen **				✓	✓	
Zimbabwe				✓	✓	

* The response from Iran indicated that a further survey would be required to ascertain applications and quantities of process agents

** In the period of writing this report, consumption of Process Agent reported by Yemen was amended to below the 1 ODP tonne threshold.

Methodology- Survey by Questionnaire

Based on submissions of the Parties to the Ozone Secretariat (as required by Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol) and submissions to the Fund Secretariat, a list of candidate Parties was compiled. The criteria for inclusion in this initial screen of the 143 Article 5.1 Parties, that report under Article 7 (see Box 1), was that they should have either declared a process agent consumption or the consumption of a compound of interest in the "solvent" application category. Throughout this study, it has been assumed that submissions by parties are accurate and exact numerically but this is not always consistent with the actual results.

The list of Parties surveyed is shown in Table 1 and comprises 42 of the 143 eligible Parties. At this stage, 16 countries were deselected because, although they had reported individual consumption as either a process agent or solvent, the value was less than 1 ODP tonne. Although this is a rather arbitrary cut-point, it represents only 1/100th of 1 percent of the total process agent use by Article 5.1 Parties and is a defensible *de minimis* level.

The remaining 26 Parties received questionnaires individually designed to elicit their latest data for the quantities, nature and applications of process agent use. For the 10 Parties with process agent uses declared in individually approved projects and the three Parties whose process agent declarations were part of National Plans, the questionnaire sought to update the information previously provided. In addition, the questionnaire provided the opportunity for the Party to list any other applications of the controlled substances as process agents that had not been submitted as approved projects or in applications that have not yet been approved (as process agents by Parties), although they could meet the criteria.

The general form of this questionnaire is shown in Appendix C. In the particular case cited, one of the process agent applications that was part of the National Plan is no longer approved by the Parties (the manufacture of Ketotifen). However, when the National Plan was drawn up, Ketotifen was on the approved list and it appears to remain, technically, a process agent application of CTC, so was cited in this part of the form for this country.

The thirteen parties remaining had submitted data that showed solvent applications for one of the controlled substances of interest. In these cases a questionnaire of the form shown in Appendix D was employed with the aim of eliciting whether or not any part of that use could have been as a process agent and, if so, in what application.

In total twelve responses were received. In the absence of a response from countries receiving a general questionnaire, it was assumed that their solvent applications had been correctly reported and that they could be excluded from further study. The responses from three Parties also enabled them to be eliminated:

Argentina, where BCM is no longer used in the manufacture of Losartan K and the 13.86 tonnes of CTC used in petroleum reforming catalyst treatment has been reclassified by the party as feedstock because it is destroyed;

Egypt, where 51 ODP tonnes of CFC-12 had been declared as a process agent apparently to purify primary production aluminium, reported that controlled substances were no longer used for this application and

Mexico, where a 26.4 ODP tonne use of CFC-113 had actually been miscategorised.

Process agent applications in Colombia, Romania, Sri Lanka, Sudan and Turkey were examined on the basis of their responses to the questionnaires and information supplied to the Ozone and Fund Secretariats. Similar applications in China, India, D.P.R. of Korea and Pakistan were studied using the National Plans or individual process agent approvals.

Results

There are three classes of Application:

1. Those that are approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7 from the Fifteenth meeting of Parties. The method of use of the process agent is well described and the essentials are listed in Appendix B, Table B.1.
2. Those that are not approved under a decision of the Parties but which are also well documented and are listed in Appendix B, Table B.2. In some cases, although the method of use of the controlled substance is documented, no actual use is reported by any of the Parties.
3. Those for which quantities are claimed by some Parties but which are not well documented. These are listed without further comment at the end of Table B.2.

The quantities used in each application are listed in Table 2 which also shows the source of information and the countries reporting use. In total, some 13,621 ODP tonnes of process agents have been reported as being used by Article 5.1 Parties, comprising 13,569 ODP tonnes of CTC, 40 of CFC-113 and 12 of BCM. The last figure is material used in Turkey for the manufacture of Sultamicillin antibiotic that, in fact, may be feedstock.

The values were taken from the latest information that gave consumption in individual applications; either the responses to the questionnaires or data submitted to the Fund Secretariat and Ozone Secretariat. This has resulted in total values that are significantly less than the total value for the year 2003 published by the Ozone Secretariat. This is almost wholly due to the values reported by the People's Republic of China and will be discussed later.

Emissions were assumed to equal consumption. Firstly, no Party reported that emissions were any different from consumption in their responses to the questionnaire, and secondly, the technical data summarised in Appendix B suggests that, in most cases, emission reductions would result only from changes in process agent use. The exceptions are the uses of CFC-113 for fluoropolymer resins, CTC for Ketotifen and CTC for the manufacture of chlorosulphonated polyolefin in the People's Republic of China, where vents treatment systems that will reduce emissions by destroying the controlled substance component are planned [4]. These applications account for 9% of the emissions that potentially can be abated. Alternative technology has been proposed to stop emissions of CTC from the manufacture of chlorosulphonated polyolefin in the DPR of Korea by changing to a new, solvent free fluidised bed process [10].

In the region of 94% of the quoted consumption is in applications that have been approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7 by the Fifteenth Meeting of the Parties and are shown on the first page of Table 2. The remainder, shown on the second page of Table 2 have yet to be considered by the Parties for approval, or were dropped from the approved list for procedural reasons. For example, the manufacture of Ketotifen was in the list approved initially [1] but is not on the current list although it is included in a national plan that was approved in the meantime [4].

Table 2. Process Agent Use and Emission by Article 5.1 Parties

Process Agent	Application (approved under decisions XV/6 and XV/7)	References	Emission, equal to Use ODP tonnes	Countries
CTC	Elimination of nitrogen trichloride in the production of chlorine	1, 8, 9	2.75	Colombia
CTC	Manufacture of chlorinated rubber	3,4,10,11	1908	China, India, DPR Korea
CTC	Manufacture of Endosulphan insecticide	1,3,12,13	290	India
CTC	Manufacture of isobutyl acetophenone (Ibuprofen analgesic)	3, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	274	India, Pakistan
CTC	Manufacture of 1,1-bis (4-chlorophenyl) 2,2,2-trichloroethanol (Dicofol insecticide)	1, 3, 20	76	India
CTC	Manufacture of chlorosulphonated polyolefin (CSM)	1,4, 10, 21	1375	China, DPR Korea
CFC-113	Manufacture of fluoropolymer resins	4, 22	40	China
CTC	Manufacture of chlorinated paraffin	1, 3, 4, 23	1442	China, India
CTC	Manufacture of bromohexine hydrochloride	3, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28	234	India
CTC	Manufacture of Diclofenac sodium	3, 29, 30	561	India
CTC	Manufacture of phenyl glycine	3, 31, 32, 33	15	India
CTC	Manufacture of chlorinated polypropylene	4	1942	China
	Manufacture of chlorinated EVA			
CTC	Manufacture of methyl isocyanate derivatives	4	1440	China
CTC	Manufacture of 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde	4	520	China
CTC	Manufacture of 2-chloro-5-methylpyridine	4	282	China
CTC	Manufacture of Imidacloprid	4	1230	China
CTC	Manufacture of Bupropfenin	4	964	China
CTC	Manufacture of Oxadiazon	4	17	China
CTC	Manufacture of chloridized N-methylaniline	4	103	China
CTC	Manufacture of Mefenacet	4	23	China
CTC	Manufacture of 1,3-dichlorobenzothiazole	4	28	China
BCM	Manufacture of Losartan potassium	2,34	2.4	Argentina

Process Agent	Application (not yet approved)	References	Emission, equal to Use ODP tonnes	Countries
BCM	Manufacture of Sultamicillin	35	12	Turkey
CFC-11	Purification of aluminium	no data	0	Egypt
CTC	Manufacture of Ampicillin	3, 31, 32, 33	<i>Included in phenyl glycine above</i>	
CTC	Manufacture of ascorbic acid	2, 10, 36	79.2	DPR Korea
CTC	Manufacture of betamethazone phosphate	33		
CTC	Manufacture of Cefaclor®	33		
CTC	Manufacture of Ceftriaxone®	33		
CTC	Manufacture of Chlorophenesin	33	44	India
CTC	Manufacture of Ciprofloxacin	2, 10, 33, 36	82.5	DPR Korea
CTC	Manufacture of Clotrimazole	33		
CTC	Manufacture of Cloxacillin	33		
CTC	Manufacture of dexamethazone phosphate	33	55	India
CTC	Manufacture of estramustine phosphate	33		
CTC	Manufacture of the herbicide 2,4-D	2, 37	22	Romania
CTC	Manufacture of the herbicide DHEPC	2, 37	135.3	Romania
CTC	Manufacture of isosorbide mononitrate	33	6	India
CTC	Manufacture of Ketotifen	4	13	China
CTC	Manufacture of Naproxen	33		
CTC	Manufacture of Norfloxacin	2, 10, 33, 36	<i>Included in Ciprofloxacin above</i>	DPR Korea
CTC	Manufacture of Omeprazol	33		
CTC	Manufacture of trityl chloride	33	130	India
CTC	Production of the disinfectant sodium dichloroisocyanurate	2, 10, 33, 36	68.2	DPR Korea
CTC	Conditioning of Petroleum Reforming Catalyst	34, 38	13.86	Argentina
CTC	Production of Vinyl Chloride Monomer	39	0	Brazil
CTC	Manufacture of Carbimazole	3	8	India
CTC	Production of p-nitrobenzyl bromide	3	103	India
CTC	Production of benzophenone	3	45	India
CTC	Production of ethyl-4-chloroacetoacetate	3	11	India
CTC	Absorption quality testing of activated carbon	no data	16.65	Sri Lanka

Tables 3 and 4 carry information similar to Table 2, grouped into applications approved under decisions XV/6 and XV/7 and those not so approved. Furthermore, within each table, the results are grouped by Party. The year to which the results actually correspond is also given. However, in most cases, values in the plan and more recent information are similar.

The data in the column describing the use factor for each application in each Party were extracted from the national data reported in the quoted reference. In almost all cases where carbon tetrachloride is used as a process solvent it is recycled. The exceptions are Ketotifen production in the People's Republic of China and ascorbic acid production in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The effectiveness of recycling is variable and this is partly responsible for the wide variation in the use factors, which are also impacted by process technology considerations. For some applications, the national data did not give enough information to calculate a usage factor; generally because the process agent use was quoted without giving a value for the production. Nevertheless, in any one process, improved recycling would be as effective in reducing process agent emissions as the capture and destruction of the emissions.

Table 3. Process Agent Usage and Emissions in Activities Approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7.

Party	Activity	ODS used	Year	Emission, equal to Use ODP tonnes	Use factor metric tonnes per tonne of product	Ref.
Argentina	Manufacture of Losartan potassium	BCM	2000	2.4	id	34
China (PR)	Manufacture of chlorinated rubber	CTC	2000	1494	0.55	4
	Manufacture of chlorosulphonated polyolefin (CSM)	CTC	2000	1202	0.44	4
	Manufacture of fluoropolymer resins	CFC-113	2000	40	0.006	4
	Manufacture of chlorinated paraffin	CTC	2000	1243	0.20	4
	Manufacture of chlorinated polypropylene	CTC	2000	1942	0.73	4
	Manufacture of chlorinated EVA	CTC				
	Manufacture of methyl isocyanate derivatives	CTC	2000	1440	0.12	4
	Manufacture of 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde	CTC	2000	520	0.40	4
	Manufacture of 2-chloro-5-methylpyridine	CTC	2000	282	4.07	4
	Manufacture of Imidacloprid	CTC	2000	1230	1.60	4
	Manufacture of Bupropfenin	CTC	2000	964	0.29	4
	Manufacture of Oxadiazon	CTC	2000	17	0.28	4
	Manufacture of chloridized N-methylaniline	CTC	2000	103	0.18	4
	Manufacture of Mefenacet	CTC	2000	23	0.70	4
Manufacture of 1,3-dichlorobenzothiazole	CTC	2000	28	0.35	4	
Colombia	Elimination of nitrogen trichloride in the production of chlorine	CTC	2000*	2.75	na	8
India	Manufacture of chlorinated rubber	CTC	2000	305	id	3
	Manufacture of Endosulphan insecticide	CTC	2000	290	0.067	12
	Manufacture of isobutyl acetophenone (Ibuprofen analgesic)	CTC	2000	186	0.68	14
	Manufacture of 1,1-bis (4-chlorophenyl) 2,2,2-trichloroethanol (Dicofol insecticide)	CTC	2000	76	id	3
	Manufacture of chlorinated paraffin	CTC	2000	199	id	3
	Manufacture of bromohexine hydrochloride	CTC	2000	234	0.92	24
	Manufacture of Diclofenac sodium	CTC	2000	561	1.14	29
	Manufacture of phenyl glycine	CTC	2000	15	0.083	31
Korea (DPR of)	Manufacture of chlorinated rubber	CTC	2002	109	id	10
	Manufacture of chlorosulphonated polyolefin (CSM)	CTC	2002	173	id	10
Pakistan	Manufacture of isobutyl acetophenone (Ibuprofen analgesic)	CTC	2003	88	0.78	14

Notes: id insufficient data in references to complete calculation
na not applicable
* average over the period 1997-2001

Table 4. Process Agent Usage and Emissions in Activities not Approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7.

Party	Activity	ODS used	Year	Emission, equal to Use ODP tonnes	Use factor metric tonnes per tonne of product	Ref.
Argentina	Conditioning of Petroleum Reforming Catalyst	CTC	2002	13.86	na	38
Brazil	Production of Vinyl Chloride Monomer	CTC	2003	68.38	na	39
China (PR)	Manufacture of Ketotifen	CTC	2000	12	13.4	4
Egypt	Purification of aluminium	CFC-12	2003	0	na	
India	Manufacture of Chlorophenesin	CTC	2000	44	id	3
	Manufacture of dexamethazone phosphate	CTC	2000	55	id	3
	Manufacture of isosorbide mononitrate	CTC	2000	6	id	3
	Manufacture of trityl chloride	CTC	2000	130	id	3
	Manufacture of Carbimazole	CTC	2000	8	id	3
	Production of p-nitrobenzyl bromide	CTC	2000	103	id	3
	Production of benzophenone	CTC	2000	45	id	3
	Production of ethyl-4-chloroacetoacetate	CTC	2000	11	id	3
Korea (DPR of)	Manufacture of ascorbic acid	CTC	2002	79.2	0.92	36
	Manufacture of Ciprofloxacin	CTC	2002	82.5	4.6	36
	Manufacture of Norfloxacin	CTC			4	36
	Production of the disinfectant sodium dichloroisocyanurate	CTC	2002	68.2	0.24	36
Romania	Manufacture of the herbicide 2,4-D	CTC	2003	22	0.56	37
	Manufacture of DEHPC	CTC	2003	135.3	1.38	37
SriLanka	Absorption quality testing of activated carbon	CTC	2003	16.65	na	
Turkey	Manufacture of Sultamicillin *	BCM	2003	12	7.3	35

Notes: * This may not be a process agent application
 id insufficient data in references to complete calculation
 na not applicable

Table 5 summarises the total consumptions listed for each party in Tables 3 and 4 and also the latest reported total data from the Ozone Secretariat [40]. The report from Argentina to the Ozone Secretariat under Article 7 of the Protocol did not contain information on either of the process agent uses mentioned in reference [34]. In the cases of Brazil, Egypt and Mexico, use has been discontinued, so that the most recent (2004) reported consumptions are all zero.

In Pakistan, Romania, Sri Lanka and Turkey, there is no significant change in use and the reduction in Colombia is more apparent than real because of the effect of sporadic imports on the accounting for process agent use (without allowing for stockholding).

There would seem to be a similar problem, on a much larger scale, with the data from the People's Republic of China. It is apparent that the usage rate for CTC as a process agent is calculated from its annual production, plus imports, minus exports, less the quantity used as feedstock. The remainder is given as the quantity used as process agent *without allowing for stock changes*. In the year 2001, the process agent use was reported as 10,637 ODP tonnes [41] so that the apparent 88% growth rate in process agent use over two years between 2001 and 2003 is almost certainly the result of such stock changes not being properly accounted.

Because neither Party responded to the questionnaire, there are no data to substantiate the reasons for the fall in use of CTC in India by 9% between 2000 and 2002, nor the rise in use of CTC in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea by 69% between 2002 and 2003. As with the data from China, these could be artefacts of the accounting and reporting procedures.

Table 5 Summary of Uses of Process Agents by the Parties

Party	ODS used	Sum of latest individually denominated uses				Latest reported total [40, 42]	
		Activities not approved by the Parties		Activities approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7		Year	Use ODP tonnes
		Year	Use ODP tonnes	Year	Use ODP tonnes		
Argentina	BCM CTC	2004	0	2004	0	2003	2.4
Brazil	CTC	2003	68.38			2003	68.38
PR of China	CFC-113 CTC	2000	13	2000	40 10485	2003	17.11 20014.36
Colombia	CTC			2000	2.75	2004	1.38
Egypt	CFC-12	2004	0			2003	51
India	CFC-113 CTC	2000	402	2000	1866	2002	23.58 2065.8
DPR of Korea	CTC	2002	229.9	2002	202	2003	731.5
Pakistan	CTC	2001	88			2003	88
Romania	CTC	2002	173			2004	157.3
Sri Lanka	CTC	2003	16.65			2003	16.65
Sudan	CTC					2003	1.1
Turkey	BCM	2003	12			2003	12

Viewed as uncertainties, these discrepancies would suggest that country data could be in error by an average of about 30 to 50% in any one year but the remedy is to account for the use as actual quantities used in the process operations ("bottom-up accounting") rather than attempting to assess the usage from overall production and use in other major outlets without allowing for stock changes.

Conclusions

Some 143 Parties to the Montreal Protocol operating under Article 5.1 report data on their consumption of controlled substances to the Protocol Secretariats. From these data, only 26 Parties were determined to use (or could potentially be using) controlled substances as process agents. Each was sent a questionnaire individually designed to elicit their latest values for the quantities of process agent used and to ascertain the applications in which they were employed, together with estimates of the emissions from these applications.

The results showed that, in total, some 13,599 ODP tonnes per year of process agents were used by Article 5.1 Parties, comprising 13,562 ODP tonnes of CTC, 40 of CFC-113 and 12 ODP tonnes of BCM. The estimate relates to years in the period 2000 to 2003 but the year to year variation in the values quoted by Parties can be misleading and high. This is particularly the case where process agent uses are calculated as the remainder after accounting for imports, exports, domestic production and feedstock use, without allowing for changes in stock holding (inventory). For example, the simple sum of all reported data in 2003 is 23,300 ODP tonnes; a value that is almost certainly in error since it would have required a doubling in process agent use by one Party in direct contravention of its national plan for phase-out.

Applications of all but 0.2% of the 13,600 ODP tonnes used are described in national plans or in individually approved projects but 7,350 ODP tonnes of this, while already identified in phase-out plans, may be the subject of additional requests for support from the Multilateral Fund.

Some 94% of the consumption is in applications that are now listed as process agents under decisions XV/6 and XV/7 taken at the Fifteenth Meeting of the Parties. In most cases, the process agent is used as a process solvent. This is particularly so for carbon tetrachloride (CTC) which constitutes all but 0.4% of the emissions.

With two exceptions, some form of recycle of the process solvent is carried out. The exceptions are the production of Ketotifen in the People's Republic of China and l-Ascorbic acid in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The effectiveness of recycling is variable and this is partly responsible for the wide variation in the use factors, which are also impacted by process technology considerations. Nevertheless, in any particular process, improved recycling would be as effective in reducing process agent emissions as the capture and destruction of the emissions.

About 91% of the reduction in process agent use is proposed to be accomplished by changes in technology (including change in the process agent to a substance that is not controlled) or by shutting down the plant. The other 9% is expected to be achieved by emission controls to minimise, capture and destroy controlled substances vented to atmosphere.

However, no Party provided evidence for current destruction of process agents and so all of the material lost must be emitted into the environment. All of the process agents under consideration will tend to migrate into the atmospheric compartment of the environment (as against water, soil or biota). The quantities that are lost are equal to the quantities used to replenish material in the process - the "make-up quantities".

References

- [1] Report of the Process Agents Task Force, United Nations Environment Programme, April 1997, Ozone Secretariat, P.O. Box 30552, Nairobi, Kenya.
- [2] Report of the Process Agents Task Force, United Nations Environment Programme, April 2001, Ozone Secretariat, P.O. Box 30552, Nairobi, Kenya.
- [3] CTC Phase out Plan for the Consumption Sector for India, information to 40th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, April 2003.
- [4] Sector Plan for Phaseout Of ODS in the Process Agent Sector in China, information to 37th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of the Peoples' Republic of China, April 22, 2002.
- [5] Ballschmiter K., Transport and fate of organic compounds in the global environment, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 31, 487-515, 1992.
- [6] Dyrssen D., E. Fogelqvist, M. Krysell and R. Sturm, Release of halocarbons from an industrial estuary, *Tellus*, 42B, 162-169, 1990.
- [7] Information Provided by the Parties in accordance with Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that deplete the Ozone Layer, Report No UNEP/OzL.Pro.16/4, of 18 October 2004.
- [8] Correspondence between Minister Jaime Giron Duarte, Colombia, and Marco González, Ozone Secretariat to the Montreal Protocol, August 2004.
- [9] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #1, Use of CTC in the elimination of NCl_3 in the production of chlorine and caustic soda, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [10] Korea - Plan for Terminal Phase-out of CTC, information to 41st Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of the Democratic Peoples' Republic of Korea, Nov 2003.
- [11] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #3, Use of CTC in Chlorinated Rubber production, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [12] Phaseout of use of Carbon tetrachloride as process agent in the production of Endosulphan by Excel Industries Limited, information to 28th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, April 22, 1998.
- [13] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #4, Use of CTC in Endosulfan production, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.

- [14] Conversion of Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) as Process Solvent to 1,2-Dichloroethane at Himont Chemicals Ltd., information to 35th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of Pakistan, September 20, 2001.
- [15] Conversion of Carbon tetrachloride (CTC) as process solvent to Ethylene dichloride at Doctors Organic Chemicals Ltd., information to 32nd Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, September 22, 2000.
- [16] Conversion of Carbon tetrachloride (CTC) as process solvent to Ethylene dichloride at Satya Deeptha Pharmaceuticals Ltd., information to 32nd Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, April 11, 2000.
- [17] Conversion of Carbon tetrachloride (CTC) as process solvent to Ethylene dichloride at Svis Labs Ltd., information to 32nd Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, April 14, 2000.
- [18] Conversion of Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) as process solvent to ethylene dichloride at Chiplun Fine Chemicals Ltd. (CFC) information to 29th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, September 12, 1999.
- [19] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #5, Use and consumption of CTC in Ibuprofen production, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [20] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #6, Use of CTC in Dicofol production, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [21] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #7b, Use of CTC in Chlorosulphonated Polyolefin (CSM) production in an Article 5(1) country, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [22] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #9, Use of CFC 113 for manufacturing a family of fluoropolymer resins, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [23] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #12, Use of CTC in the manufacture of chlorinated paraffin, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [24] Conversion of Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) as Process Agent to Monochlorobenzene at M/S Benzo Chemical Industries, information to 34th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, May 11, 2001.
- [25] Conversion of Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) as Process Agent to Monochlorobenzene (MCB) at Pradeep Shetye Ltd. information to 34th Meeting of the Executive Committee

- of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, May 11, 2001.
- [26] Conversion of Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) as Process Agent to Monochlorobenzene at FDC Limited, information to 29th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, May 13, 2001.
- [27] Conversion of Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) as Process Agent to Monochlorobenzene at GRD Chemicals Ltd., information to 34th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, May 12, 2001.
- [28] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #19, Use of CTC 11 in manufacturing bromohexine hydrochloride, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [29] Conversion of Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) as Process Agent to Cyclohexane at Amoli Organics Limited, information to 35th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, August 7, 2001.
- [30] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #20, Use of CTC in manufacture of diclofenac sodium, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [31] Conversion of Carbon tetrachloride (CTC) as process solvent to trichloromethane at M/S Alpha Drugs India Ltd., information to 32nd Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of India, April 15, 2000.
- [32] Process Agents Task Force, Case Study #22, Use of CTC in manufacture of phenyl glycine, UNEP, Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, May 2001.
- [33] Information supplied by UNIDO to the Ozone Secretariat, August, 2001.
- [34] Correspondence between Ambassador Raúl A. Estrada Oyuela, Argentina, and Marco González, Ozone Secretariat to the Montreal Protocol, September, 2003.
- [35] Correspondence between Director Musa Demirbaş, Turkey, and Marco González, Ozone Secretariat to the Montreal Protocol, December 2004.
- [36] Correspondence between Kim Yong U, National Ozone Coordinator, Democratic Peoples' Republic of Korea, and Ms. Megumi Seki, Ozone Secretariat to the Montreal Protocol, September 2004.
- [37] Correspondence between Rodic Ella Morohoi, Ozone Unit Coordinator, Romania, and Marco González, Ozone Secretariat to the Montreal Protocol, September 2004.

- [38] Plan for Phase-out of ODS in the Solvent Sector in the Republic of Argentina information to 41st Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, from the government of the Republic of Argentina, August, 2003.
- [39] Brazil - Formulation of a Project Proposal for the Elimination of Ozone Depleting Substances (CFC-113, 1,1,1-Trichloroethane, CTC) Used in Chemical Production Processes Trikem S.A, May 8, 2002.
- [40] Process Agents: Implementation of Decisions X/14 and XV/7 of the Meetings of the Parties, Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol, Report No UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/44/Inf.2, of 9 November 2004.
- [41] Correspondence between Director Liu Yi, PR of China, and Marco González, Ozone Secretariat to the Montreal Protocol, September, 2001.
- [42] Report of the Process Agents Task Force, United Nations Environment Programme, October 2004, Ozone Secretariat, P.O. Box 30552, Nairobi, Kenya

Appendix A - Definitions from the 1997 PATF Report

“**Feedstock:** A controlled substance that undergoes transformation in a process in which it is converted from its original composition except for insignificant trace emissions as allowed by Decision IV/12.”

“**Process Agent:** A controlled substance that because of its unique chemical and/or physical properties facilitates an intended chemical reaction and/or inhibits an unintended (undesired) chemical reaction.

Controlled substances are typically used in chemical processes as process agents for at least two of the following unique chemical and/or physical properties:

1. Chemically inert during a chemical reaction
2. Physical properties, e.g.
 - Boiling point
 - Vapour pressure
 - Specific solvency
3. To act as a chain transfer agent
4. To control the desired physical properties of a process, e.g.,
 - Molecular weight
 - Viscosity
5. To increase plant yield
6. Non-flammable/non explosive
7. To minimise undesirable by-product formation

Note 1: Refrigeration, solvent cleaning, sterilisation, aerosol propellants and firefighting are not process agents according to this definition

Note 2: Parties need not consider use of ODS for foam blowing, tobacco puffing, caffeine extraction, or fumigation because these uses are already covered in other Decisions and/or by Technical Option Committee Reports.” [1]

APPENDIX B Summary of Process Agent Applications featured in this Report

Table B.1 Activities Approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7

Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Elimination of nitrogen trichloride in the production of chlorine	CTC	Solvent for nitrogen trichloride used in the destruction process	Yes, ratio variable	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless captured and destroyed.	9
Manufacture of chlorinated rubber	CTC	Solvent for the chlorination of rubber using chlorine. No process details	No process details.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Usage 0.55t/t	4
Manufacture of Endosulphan insecticide	CTC	Solvent for reaction of HET diol with thionyl chloride.	Recovery by distillation.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	13
Manufacture of isobutyl acetophenone (Ibuprofen analgesic)	CTC	Solvent for reaction of isobutyl benzene with acetyl chloride and aluminium chloride. Recovery by distillation.	Yes. No information on ratio	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	18, 19
Manufacture of 1,1-bis (4-chlorophenyl) 2,2,2-trichloroethanol (Dicofol insecticide)	CTC	Solvent in chlorination of technical DDE, whence it is recovered by distillation. And solvent to purify technical dicofol, whence it is removed by distillation.	Yes. No information on ratio	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Emission ratio = 5%	20

Table B.1 continued					
Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Manufacture of chlorosulphonated polyolefin (CSM)	CTC	Solvent for the reaction of polyethylene with chlorine and sulphur dioxide. The released CTC from the reactor and rear operations is recovered, purified and recycled by condensation and absorption.	Yes. No information on ratio	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Emission ratio = 3%	21
Manufacture of fluoropolymer resins	CFC-113	Resins, process agents (solvents), and other reactants are batch charged into reaction vessels followed by product isolation, product purification, and solvent recovery.	Vent collection and recovery systems capture and recycle 99% of CFC-113 in the primary vents.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed separately by thermal oxidation. Emission ratio = 1%	4, 22
Manufacture of chlorinated paraffin	CTC	Solvent for reaction of paraffin wax with chlorine	During paraffin dissolution and chlorination, the evaporated CTC is recovered by condensation and recycled upstream for the process.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Emission ratio = 3%	23
Manufacture of bromohexine hydrochloride	CTC	Solvent for bromination of o-nitrotoluene to o-nitrobenzylbromide, whence it passes through several other process steps and is recovered by distillation from the crude product	Yes	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	27, 28

Table B.1 continued					
Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Manufacture of Diclofenac sodium	CTC	Solvent for chlorination of phenol to 2,6-dichlorophenol	No data	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	30
Manufacture of phenyl glycine, intermediate in manufacture of Ampicillin and Cefaclor.	CTC	Solvent for hydrochlorination of D(-) alpha phenyl glycine and for product purification.	Separation by filtration, solvent recycled to reaction stage.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	32, 33
Manufacture of chlorinated polypropylene	CTC	Solvent for direct chlorination of polypropylene but no process details	Tail gas treatment by active carbon adsorption	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Usage from 0.68t/t to 0.62t/t.	4
Manufacture of chlorinated EVA	CTC	Solvent for direct chlorination of ethyl vinyl acetate but no process details	Tail gas treatment by active carbon adsorption	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Usage from 0.68t/t to 0.62t/t.	4
Manufacture of methyl isocyanate derivatives	CTC	Used as a nonflammable and non-explosive diluent in producing methyl isocyanate intermediate (rather than the final products of MIC series pesticides).	Recycled by distillation	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Usage in range 0.2-0.3t/t (CTC/MIC)	4
Manufacture of 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde	CTC	No data		Usage 0.4t/t	4

Table B.1 continued

Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Manufacture of Imidacloprid	CTC	Solvent in the chlorination of 2-chloro-5-methyl-pyridine to 2-chloro-5-chloromethyl pyridine with chlorine.	Captured from the tail gas by condensation and then recycled.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Usage from 1 to 2 t/t	4
Manufacture of Bupropfenin	CTC	Solvent for chlorination of N-methylaniline (to <i>chloridized N-methylaniline</i>) with chlorine.	Partial recycle	"CTC consumption ratio found to vary from 0.20t/t to 0.60t/t (CTC/intermediate), and 75% of the CTC consumed is emitted from the tail gas to atmosphere due to inefficient cooling capacity."	4
Manufacture of Oxadiazon	CTC	Diluent agent and inert solvent for the chlorination reaction but no details of the production process.	Sixty percent of the CTC consumption results from tail gas emissions, and 30% more is contained in wastewater.	"CTC consumption ratios are about 0.3t/t"	4
Manufacture of chloridized N-methylaniline	CTC	See <i>Manufacture of Bupropfenin</i> above			4
Manufacture of Mefenacet	CTC	Solvent in production of intermediate 1,3-dichloro-benzothiazole; process details not available.	Recycle with two-stage brine condensers.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Consumption ratio of 0.4~0.7t/t (intermediate)	4
Manufacture of 1,3-dichlorobenzothiazole	CTC	See <i>Manufacture of Mefenacet</i> above			4
Manufacture of Losartan potassium	BCM	Reaction solvent for bromination of mBTT and for subsequent product purification.	Yes, by distillation	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed. Emission ratio 25%.	2, 34

Table B. 2 Activities not Approved under Decisions XV/6 and XV/7

Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Manufacture of Sultamicillin	BCM	BCM is a reagent and solvent for the chloromethylation of sulbactam into chloromethylpenicillinate-S,S-dioxide	Excess BCM recovered and recycled by distillation.	BCM appears to be a chemical feedstock in this process, not a process agent.	35
Manufacture of Ampicillin	CTC	See <i>Manufacture of</i>			33
Manufacture of ascorbic acid	CTC	Conversion of L-gulonic acid diketal to L-gulonic acid ethyl ester is performed with hydrogen chloride (HCl) in a mixture of ethanol and CTC		Total usage sent to drain (hence to atmosphere)	2, 10
Manufacture of betamethazone phosphate	CTC	Solvent in the production of pyrophosphoryl chloride	Solvent removed and recycled.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	33
Manufacture of Cefaclor®	CTC	See <i>Manufacture of phenyl glycine</i> above			33
Manufacture of Ceftriaxone®	CTC	Solvent for production of 2-(2-chloroacetamido-4-thiazolyl)-2-	Solvent removed from oily product and recycled (no technical data)	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	33

Table B. 2 continued					
Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Manufacture of Chlorophenesin	CTC	Solvent for chlorination of phenol (intermediate)	Solvent removed and returned to chlorination step.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	33
Manufacture of Ciprofloxacin	CTC	Solvent for the reaction of 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene with chlorine in the presence of FeCl ₃ (Korea) or Solvent in the	Recovered, purified and recycled by distillation	In DPR Korea - the mother liquor, a solution of FeCl ₃ and some chloronitrobenzenes, 'is disposed of'. Otherwise, make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	2, 10, 33
Manufacture of Clotrimazole	CTC	Solvent in chlorination of 2-chlorotoluene	Solvent removed and returned to chlorination step.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	33
Manufacture of Cloxacillin	CTC	Solvent in chlorination of 2-chlorobenzaldehyde oxime (intermediate)	Unspecified solvent recovery	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	33
Manufacture of dexamethazone phosphate	CTC	See <i>Manufacture of betamethazone phosphate</i> above			33
Manufacture of estramustine phosphate	CTC	See <i>Manufacture of betamethazone phosphate</i> above			33
Manufacture of the herbicide 2,4-D	CTC	Solvent in two chlorination stages and in product purification.	The CTC is recovered and recycled.	Emissions of CTC to the environment take place in each of the process stages.	2, 37
Manufacture of the "herbicide" DEHPC. <i>Actually, the product (diethylhexylperoxycarbonate) is an unstable polymerisation initiator used to make PVC.</i>	CTC	Solvent in two stages of the process.	Reaction product contains DEHPC dissolved in CTC and this solution is used directly to polymerise PVC. Also emissions of CTC during intermediate stages of the process.	The CTC remains unchanged in the polymer and is released into the environment through the plastic lifetime.	2, 37

Table B.2 continued					
Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Manufacture of isosorbide mononitrate	CTC	Solvent (together with pyridine) in the condensation of	CTC recovered for recycle by distillation.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	33
Manufacture of Ketotifen	CTC	Used as a process solvent in one step of the 18 stage process	No data	Usage between 13 and 21 tons of CTC per ton of ketotifen	33
Manufacture of Naproxen	CTC	Solvent in condensation of acetyl chloride with 2-methoxynaphthalene and in subsequent product	Recovered, purified and recycled by distillation	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed separately by thermal oxidation.	33
Manufacture of Norfloxacin	CTC	Solvent for the reaction of 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene with chlorine in the presence of FeCl ₃ (Korea) or Solvent in the chlorination of benzoic acid to trichlorobenzoic acid intermediate (more generally used).	Recovered, purified and recycled by distillation	In DPR Korea - the mother liquor, a solution of FeCl ₃ and some chloronitrobenzenes, 'is disposed of'. Otherwise, make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	2, 10, 33
Manufacture of Omeprazol	CTC	Suspending agent in chlorination of 2-hydroxymethyl-3,5-dimethyl-4-methoxy pyridine (intermediate) using thionyl chloride.	Solid product is removed and solution of thionyl chloride is recycled	Solvent carried on solid product to next stage of process.	33
Manufacture of trityl chloride	CTC	Solvent (and reagent) in condensation of benzene with carbon tetrachloride	No data	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	33

Table B.2 continued

Activity	ODS used	Details of use of process agent	Fate of Process agent		Source of information
			Internal Recycle	Emission	
Production of the disinfectant sodium dichloroisocyanurate	CTC	Removal of NCl ₃ from product of chlorination of isocyanuric acid.	The aqueous layer containing the desired product and the CTC layer containing the NCl ₃ are separated, and the NCl ₃ is chemically destroyed by reaction with aqueous sodium thiosulfate. The now-clean CTC is returned to the process.	Make-up quantities are transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	2, 10
Production of Vinyl Chloride Monomer	CTC	Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) is added to EDC feed in order to increase the productivity of the cracking furnaces. CTC acts as a free radical chain initiator.	CTC is lost from the process in a light ends stream containing non saturated hydrocarbons	Part of the quantity used can be transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	39
Catalyst conditioning and regeneration	CTC	Regeneration of petroleum reforming catalyst	No data	Part of the quantity used can be transferred into the atmosphere unless destroyed.	38
Adsorption quality testing of activated carbon	CTC	Measurement of the quantity of CTC adsorbed onto samples	No data	No data	<i>Response to Questionnaire by Sri Lanka</i>
Manufacture of carbimazole	CTC	No data	No data	No data	3
Manufacture of p-nitrobenzyl bromide	CTC	No data	No data	No data	3
Manufacture of benzophenone	CTC	No data	No data	No data	3
Manufacture of ethyl-4-chloroacetoacetate	CTC	No data	No data	No data	3

Appendix C - Example of Specific Questionnaire for a Country with a National Emissions Reduction Plan

QUESTIONNAIRE TO ASSIST THE FUND SECRETARIAT TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ON THE LEVEL OF EMISSION OF OZONE DEPLETING SUBSTANCES USED AS PROCESS AGENTS

Please return to: A. McCulloch, c/o Secretariat of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol

FAX No +1 514 282 0068

by: 28 February 2005

In the information supplied by Country to the Fund Secretariat for the year 2002, consumption of 100 ODP tonnes of CFC-113 and 1000 ODP tonnes of carbon tetrachloride (CTC) was reported as Process Agents.

There are two Tables. The first table covers applications for which process agent use in your country was reported in *Plan for Phaseout of ODS in Chemical Process Agent Applications in Country*. The second table contains other process agent applications adopted by Decisions XV/6 and XV/7 of the Fifteenth Meeting of Parties, plus additional applications not so far approved but known to exist in some countries. Table 2 also has space for reporting other applications.

1. Please update the information for applications reported in project documentation

Table 1

Application	ODS used	Number of approved enterprises in year 2000	Total Quantity of Process Agent		Numbers of enterprises in 2003			
			Consumed in 2003 ODP tonnes	Emitted in 2003 ODP tonnes	Using ODS	Using ODS with emission control technology	Manufacturing but not using ODS	Not manufacturing / closed
Chlorinated Rubber (CR)	CTC	7						
Chlorinated Paraffin (CP70)	CTC	9						
Chlorosulphonated polyolefin (CSM)	CTC	3						
PTFE	CFC-113	5						
Ketotifen	CTC	1						

2. Please indicate how much Process Agent, if any, was used in Country in the following activities:

Table 2

Activity	ODS used	Yes	No	No information	If YES, how much ODS was used in 2003? (ODP tonnes)	If YES, how much ODS was emitted in 2003? (ODP tonnes)
Applications approved by Parties (Decisions XV/6 & XV/7)						
Elimination of nitrogen trichloride in the production of chlorine	CTC					
Recovery of chlorine in tail gas from production of chlorine	CTC					
Manufacture of Endosulphan insecticide	CTC					
Manufacture of isobutyl acetophenone (Ibuprofen analgesic)	CTC					
Manufacture of 1,1-bis (4-chlorophenyl) 2,2,2-trichloroethanol (Dicofol insecticide)	CTC					
Manufacture of polyphenylene terephthalamide (PPTA)	CTC					
Manufacture of fine synthetic polyolefin fibre sheet	CFC-11					
Manufacture of styrene butadiene rubber (SBR)	CTC					
Photochemical synthesis of perfluoropolyether polyperoxide precursors of Z-perfluoropolyethers and difunctional derivatives	CFC-12					
Reduction of perfluoropolyether polyperoxide intermediate for production of perfluoropolyether diesters	CFC-113					
Preparation of perfluoropolyether diols with high functionality	CFC-113					
Manufacture of bromohexine hydrochloride	CTC					
Manufacture of Diclofenac sodium	CTC					
Manufacture of phenyl glycine	CTC					
Manufacture of Cyclodime	CTC					
Manufacture of chlorinated polypropylene	CTC					
Manufacture of chlorinated EVA	CTC					
Manufacture of methyl isocyanate derivatives	CTC					
Manufacture of 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde	CTC					
Manufacture of 2-chloro-5-methylpyridine	CTC					
Manufacture of Imidacloprid	CTC					
Manufacture of Bupropfenin	CTC					
Manufacture of Oxadiazon	CTC					
Manufacture of chloridized N-methylaniline	CTC					
Manufacture of Mefenacet	CTC					
Manufacture of 1,3-dichlorobenzothiazole	CTC					
Bromination of a styrenic polymer	BCM					
Manufacture of Losartan potassium	BCM					

3. Table 2 Country continued....

Activity	ODS used	Yes	No	No information	If YES, how much ODS was used in 2003? (ODP tonnes)	If YES, how much ODS was emitted? (ODP tonnes)
Other Process Agent uses (not approved by Parties)						
Manufacture of Sultamicillin	BCM					
Purification of aluminium	CFC-11					
Manufacture of Ampicillin	CTC					
Manufacture of Anticol	CTC					
Manufacture of ascorbic acid	CTC					
Manufacture of betamethazone phosphate	CTC					
Manufacture of Cefaclo®	CTC					
Manufacture of Ceftriaxone®	CTC					
Manufacture of Chlorophenesin	CTC					
Manufacture of Ciprofloxacin	CTC					
Manufacture of Clotrimazole	CTC					
Manufacture of Cloxacillin	CTC					
Manufacture of dexamethazone phosphate	CTC					
Manufacture of Disulfiram	CTC					
Manufacture of estramustine phosphate	CTC					
Manufacture of the herbicide 2,4-D	CTC					
Manufacture of the herbicide DHEPC	CTC					
Manufacture of isosorbide mononitrate	CTC					
Manufacture of Naproxen	CTC					
Manufacture of Norfloxacin	CTC					
Manufacture of Omeprazol	CTC					
Manufacture of Tralomethrine	CTC					
Manufacture of trityl chloride	CTC					
Production of the disinfectant sodium dichloroisocyanurate	CTC					
Other uses, please specify:						

Appendix D - Form of General Questionnaire

QUESTIONNAIRE TO ASSIST THE FUND SECRETARIAT TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ON THE LEVEL OF EMISSION OF OZONE DEPLETING SUBSTANCES USED AS PROCESS AGENTS

Please return to: A. McCulloch, c/o Secretariat of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol

FAX No +1 514 282 0068

by: 28 February 2005

In the information supplied by **Country** to the Multilateral Fund Secretariat and/or to the Ozone Secretariat for the year 2003, consumption of 1000 ODP tonnes of carbon tetrachloride (CTC) was reported in the solvent sector.

1. Please confirm that the above consumption of controlled substance was in the solvent sector and not as process agent.

<input type="checkbox"/>	YES
<input type="checkbox"/>	NO

2. Please confirm that NO additional amounts of controlled substances were used as process agents.

<input type="checkbox"/>	YES, no additional amounts were used as process agents.
<input type="checkbox"/>	NO, there are additional amounts used as process agents.

3. If your answers to questions 1 and 2 are both YES, you do not need to continue the questionnaire and you should return it now. Otherwise, please continue with questions 4 and 5 below.
4. If controlled substances were used in **Country** as process agents please indicate whether any of the following activities took place (List of uses of controlled substances as process agents adopted by Decisions XV/6 and XV/7 of the Fifteenth Meeting of Parties).

Table 1

Activity	ODS used	Yes	No	No information	If YES, how much ODS was used in 2003? (ODP tonnes)	If YES, how much ODS was emitted in 2003? (ODP tonnes)
Elimination of nitrogen trichloride in the production of chlorine	CTC					
Recovery of chlorine in tail gas from production of chlorine	CTC					
Manufacture of chlorinated rubber	CTC					
Manufacture of Endosulphan insecticide	CTC					
Manufacture of isobutyl acetophenone (Ibuprofen analgesic)	CTC					
Manufacture of 1,1-bis (4-chlorophenyl) 2,2,2-trichloroethanol (Dicofol insecticide)	CTC					
Manufacture of chlorosulphonated polyolefin (CSM)	CTC					
Manufacture of polyphenylene terephthalamide (PPTA)	CTC					
Manufacture of fluoropolymer resins	CFC-113					
Manufacture of fine synthetic polyolefin fibre sheet	CFC-11					
Manufacture of styrene butadiene rubber (SBR)	CTC					
Manufacture of chlorinated paraffin	CTC					
Photochemical synthesis of perfluoropolyether polyperoxide precursors of Z-perfluoropolyethers and difunctional derivatives	CFC-12					
Reduction of perfluoropolyether polyperoxide intermediate for production of perfluoropolyether diesters	CFC-113					
Preparation of perfluoropolyether diols with high functionality	CFC-113					
Manufacture of bromohexine hydrochloride	CTC					
Manufacture of Diclofenac sodium	CTC					
Manufacture of phenyl glycine	CTC					
Manufacture of Cyclo dime	CTC					
Manufacture of chlorinated polypropylene	CTC					
Manufacture of chlorinated EVA	CTC					
Manufacture of methyl isocyanate derivatives	CTC					
Manufacture of 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde	CTC					
Manufacture of 2-chloro-5-methylpyridine	CTC					
Manufacture of Imidacloprid	CTC					
Manufacture of Bupropfenzin	CTC					
Manufacture of Oxadiazon	CTC					
Manufacture of chloridized N-methylaniline	CTC					
Manufacture of Mefenacet	CTC					
Manufacture of 1,3-dichlorobenzothiazole	CTC					
Bromination of a styrenic polymer	BCM					
Manufacture of Losartan potassium	BCM					

Anexo II

Tabla 1: Consumo en aplicaciones como agentes de procesos

	Total of individually denominated process agent uses (in ODP tonnes)		Process agent use reported to Fund Secretariat (in ODP tonnes)		
	Applications approved by the Parties	Other potential process agent applications	2001	2002	2003
CTC					
Brazil		68,4		35,2	68,4
China	10.485,0	13,0	3.434,8	2.744,4	20.014,4
Colombia	2,8		-	0,9	-
India	1.866,0	402,0	6.912,4	2.065,8	
Korea, DPR	202,0	229,9	753,5	753,5	731,5
Pakistan		88,0	88,0	88,0	88,0
Romania		173,0	71,9	196,9	157,3
Sri Lanka		16,7	21,5	29,1	16,7
Sudan			-	-	1,1
Total CTC	12.555,8	990,9	11.282,1	5.913,9	21.077,3
CFC-11					
Egypt			65,0	60,0	51,0
Total CFC-11			65,0	60,0	51,0
CFC-113					
China	40,0		-	95,5	21,4
India			-	29,5	
Mexico			-	57,0	33,0
Total CFC-13	40,0	-	-	182,0	54,4
BCM					
Argentina					2,4
Turkey		12,0	-	-	8,8
Total BCM	-	12,0	-	-	11,2
TOTAL ODS	12.595,8	1.002,9	11.347,1	6.155,8	21.193,9
Notes:					
Argentina	In its reply to the questionnaires Argentina advised that BCM is not longer used for the production of losartan potassium.				
Egypt	In a communication by the Ozone Officer of 23 February 2005, it is stated that the company has stopped using CFC-12 as a process agent.				
Mexico	The reported consumption of CFC-113 was mis-assigned to the process agent sector instead of the solvent sector.				

Anexo III

Decisión 27/78: Agentes de proceso aplicación de la decisión X/14 (párrafos 3, 5 y 6) de la Décima Reunión de las Partes

Tras considerar los comentarios y las recomendaciones del Subcomité sobre Examen de Proyectos (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/27/13, párrafos 122-126), incluidos las Directrices y principios generales para los proyectos de agentes de procesos propuestos por el Subcomité al Comité Ejecutivo para su adopción (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/27/13, párr. 124), el Comité Ejecutivo decidió:

- a) Que se debía proceder a la aplicación inicial de la decisión X/14 utilizando el enfoque paralelo descrito en el documento UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/27/40;
- b) Adoptar el proyecto de Directrices y principios generales para los proyectos de agentes de procesos propuesto por el Subcomité sobre Examen de Proyectos, como se indica en el Anexo III al presente informe; (*se reproduce a continuación*)
- c) Que, sobre la base de los principios generales acordados, los organismos de ejecución deberían presentar una cantidad limitada de proyectos, que se adecuen a los principios generales acordados, para la consideración de la Vigésimo octava Reunión;
- d) Tomar nota de que, a medida que se fueran considerando y aprobando nuevos proyectos, se iría formando un conjunto de información respecto de la rentabilidad, los límites de emisiones y otros requisitos relativos a la admisibilidad y la determinación de los costos adicionales. Esta información constituiría la base para que el Comité Ejecutivo informe a las Partes acerca de los límites de emisiones (a los efectos de la aplicación de la Decisión X/14) y para el posible desarrollo, en una etapa posterior, de directrices más detalladas para cada una de las aplicaciones de agentes de procesos enumeradas en la decisión.”

Directrices y principios generales para los proyectos de agentes de proceso

Principios generales

1. Los países deben proporcionar, en forma conjunta con su primer proyecto, una exhaustiva descripción general que detalle todas las empresas, indicando todas las cifras de consumo y emisiones y para qué empresas el país planea solicitar compensación al Fondo Multilateral. El país debe indicar si la información sobre consumo pertinente se ha presentado como parte de sus informes de consumo conforme al Artículo 7 y, en caso contrario, sus intenciones y progresos en este aspecto.
2. A los fines de las presentaciones de proyectos, el consumo en el nivel de la empresa es la cantidad de agente de proceso, en toneladas PAO, que la empresa utiliza anualmente para ‘fabricar’ el proceso pertinente. La información sobre la cantidad de SAO contenida en los equipos utilizados en el proyecto se debe incluir en la presentación del proyecto.
3. A fin de permitir la adecuada consideración de la opción de racionalización industrial, las propuestas de proyectos deberían cubrir todas las instalaciones de producción del país para la aplicación específica sometida a consideración.

Anexo III

4. Las propuestas de proyectos se deben preparar con arreglo a todas las políticas y directrices del Comité Ejecutivo existentes. Específicamente, el reemplazo de plantas antiguas por nuevas plantas y la actualización tecnológica se deben considerar de conformidad con las decisiones 18/25 y 26/37.
5. Los proyectos iniciales se considerarán para las aplicaciones enumeradas en el Cuadro A de la decisión X/14 a fin de proporcionar información sobre reducciones de emisiones razonablemente alcanzables y los costos relacionados.
6. Los proyectos deberían indicar qué medidas aplicables se proponen para controlar las emisiones (ej. tecnologías de control de emisiones, conversión de proceso, racionalización o clausura de plantas), la relación de costo a eficacia y las reducciones de emisiones que se pueden lograr.
7. Tanto si se proponen controles de emisiones como cambios en el proceso, la presentación de proyectos debe incluir una evaluación de los costos adicionales necesarios para alcanzar niveles significativos de reducciones de emisiones por medio de cada técnica.
8. La relación de costo a eficacia de los proyectos de agentes de procesos se considerará inicialmente sobre la base de cada caso a fin de formar un conjunto de información que pueda constituir la base para el establecimiento de umbrales de relación de costo a eficacia apropiados con el correr del tiempo.

Anexo IV

Proyectos de agentes de procesos aprobados por el Comité Ejecutivo

País	Organismo	Título del proyecto	PAO por eliminar	Fecha de aprobación	Total de fondos aprobados
Proyectos individuales					
India	BIRF	Eliminación del uso de tetracloruro de carbono como agente de proceso en la producción de endosulfano en Excel Industries Limited	375,0	Jul-99	366 000
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono a dicloruro de etileno como disolvente de proceso en Satya Deeptha Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Humnabad	27,9	Dic-00	260 133
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono a triclorometano como disolvente de proceso en M/S Alpha Drugs India Ltd., Patiala	69,7	Dic-00	145 505
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono a dicloruro de etileno como disolvente de proceso en Svis Labs Ltd., Ranipet	54,2	Dic-00	249 463
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono a dicloruro de etileno como disolvente de proceso en Doctors Organic Chemicals Ltd., Tanuku	94,6	Dic-00	288 180
India	ONUDI	Conversión del tetracloruro de carbono como agente de proceso al monoclorobenceno en M/S Benzo Chemical Industries, Tarapore	23,0	Jul-01	136 786
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono como agente de proceso a monoclorobenceno en Pradeep Shetye Ltd., Alibagh	133,9	Jul-01	279 001
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono a dicloruro de etileno como agente de proceso en Chiplun Fine Chemicals Ltd., Ratnagir	16,7	Jul-01	155 830
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono como agente de proceso a monoclorobenceno en FDC Limited, Roha	34,1	Jul-01	238 371
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono como agente de proceso a monoclorobenceno en GRD Chemicals Ltd., Indore, M.P.	17,9	Jul-01	127 667
India	BIRF	Conversión de fabricación de caucho clorado de tetracloruro de carbono a proceso sin SAO en Rishiroop Organics Pvt. Ltd.	248,8	Jul-01	2 074 300
India	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono como agente de proceso a ciclohexano en Amoli Organics Limited, Mumbai	38,5	Dic-01	385 367
Pakistán	ONUDI	Conversión de tetracloruro de carbono a 1,2 dicloruro de etano como disolvente de proceso en Himont Chemicals Ltd.	80,0	Dic-01	485 701

País	Organismo	Título del proyecto	PAO por eliminar	Fecha de aprobación	Total de fondos aprobados
Planes sectoriales					
China	BIRF	Eliminación de la producción y consumo de CTC para agente de proceso y otras utilizaciones no identificadas (fase I)		Nov-02	65 000 000
India	BRIF/Francia /Alemania/Japón	Plan de eliminación de CTC en los sectores de consumo y producción		Jul-03	52 000 000
R.P. D. de Corea	ONUDI	Plan para la eliminación definitiva del CTC		Dic-03	5 684 844