



Australian Government

Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts

Our reference: 2005/2255

Mr Marco Gonzalez
Executive Secretary
Ozone Secretariat
P.O. Box 30552
Nairobi 00100
KENYA

Dear Mr Gonzalez,

Australian report under Decision Ex.I/4 paragraphs 1 and 2.

In accordance with this decision of the Parties at the first Extraordinary Meeting of the Parties, please find enclosed with this letter a summary of Australia's currently available alternatives to methyl bromide.

Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Decision Ex.I/4 decided:

1. That each party which has an agreed critical use under the present decision should submit available information to the ozone Secretariat before 1 February 2005 on the alternatives available, listed according to their pre-harvest or post-harvest uses, and the possible date of registration, if required for each alternative; and on the alternatives which the Parties can disclose to be under development, listed according to their pre-harvest or post-harvest uses and the likely date of registration, if required and known, for those alternatives, and the Ozone Secretariat shall be requested to provide a template for that information and to post the said information in a database entitled 'Methyl Bromide Alternatives' on its web site;
2. That each party that submits a nomination for the production and consumption of methyl bromide for years after 2005 should also submit information listed in paragraph 1 to the Ozone Secretariat to include in its Methyl Bromide Alternatives database and that any other Party which no longer consumes methyl bromide should also submit information on alternatives to the Secretariat for inclusion in that database.

The attached report represents Australia's report for its current nominations in accordance with this Decision.



Please note that while alternatives may be registered and available in Australia, this may not necessarily mean that they are currently available, or are technically and economically feasible for use in some circumstances. Secondly, possible dates of registration are not able to be provided for possible alternatives currently under review for possible registration. This information is generally considered to be commercially confidential.

Should you have any questions regarding the content of the report, please feel free to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Patrick McNerney', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Patrick McNerney
Director
Ozone and Synthetic Gas Team
Department of the Environment and Heritage

25 January 2008

Critical Use Exemption	Alternatives available	Pre-harvest or post-harvest	Possible date of registration, if required
Rice (consumer packs)	Carbon disulphide	Post harvest	No – only registered as a pig poison
	Carbon dioxide		Yes
	Ecofume		Yes
	Ethyl formate (liquid) Vapormate		Yes-only registered for use against adult stages.
	Phosphine (alone and in combination)		Yes
	Heat treatment		Yes
	Inert dust / diatomaceous earth		No
	Irradiation		Yes
	Pesticides of low volatility (organophosphates, pyrethroids, insect growth regulators, botanicals) Dichlorvos Fenitrothion Drift		Yes
	Sulfuryl fluoride (ProFume)		Yes
Steam treatment	-		

	Vacuum treatment / hermetic storage		-
Strawberry runners	Telone C35	Pre-plant soil fumigation	Yes
	Dazomet		Yes
	Methyl iodide		Yes – approved as an active constituent, but no registered products containing MI are available in Australia.
	Ethanedinitrile		No
	Propylene oxide		No – approved as an active constituent, but no registered products containing PPO are available in Australia.