



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**



Distr.
LIMITED

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/18/3
4 June 1997

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE UNDER THE
NON-COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE FOR
THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Eighteenth meeting
Nairobi, 2 and 4 June 1997

REPORT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE UNDER THE NON-COMPLIANCE
PROCEDURE FOR THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL ON THE WORK
OF ITS EIGHTEENTH MEETING

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The eighteenth meeting of the Implementation Committee under the Non-Compliance Procedure for the Montreal Protocol was held at the United Nations Office at Nairobi on 2 and 4 June 1997.

II. ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

A. Opening of the meeting

2. The meeting was opened at 10 a.m. on Monday, 2 June 1997, by Mr. Denis Langlois, President of the Implementation Committee.

B. Officers

3. Mr. Denis Langlois (Canada) served as President and Dr. Peter Acquah (Ghana) as Rapporteur in accordance with the decision of the Committee at its seventeenth meeting.

C. Attendance

4. The meeting was attended by Committee members from Canada, the Dominican Republic, Germany, Ghana, Indonesia, Lithuania, Sri Lanka, Ukraine, Uruguay and Zambia.

5. The meeting was also attended by representatives of the Implementing Agencies for the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol (the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and the World Bank), the secretariats of the Multilateral Fund and the Global Environment Facility (GEF), and of the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel.

6. At the invitation of the Committee, representatives of the Russian Federation attended in order to present his country's report under agenda item 4. Representatives of Poland and the European Community also attended, at the invitation of the Committee, to discuss, also under agenda item 4, reported imports of ODS into their countries from the Russian Federation.

7. Also at the invitation of the Committee, representatives of Australia, India and New Zealand attended to present their countries' views under agenda item 3.

8. The full list of participants is contained in annex III to the present report.

D. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work

9. The Committee adopted the following agenda on the basis of the provisional agenda that had been circulated under the symbol UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/18/1:

1. Opening of the meeting.
2. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work.
3. Reporting mandates required by the Protocol for assessing compliance (Decision VIII/21).

4. Information from Latvia (Decision VIII/22; Lithuania (Decision VIII/23) and Recommendation of the Implementation Committee at its seventeenth meeting (Para. 17 of Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/17/3) and Russian Federation Decision VIII/25) and decision of the Implementation Committee at its seventeenth meeting (para. 25 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/17/3).
5. Other matters.
6. Closure of meeting.

III. REPORTING MANDATES REQUIRED BY THE PROTOCOL FOR ASSESSING COMPLIANCE (DECISION VIII/21)

10. Introducing agenda item 3, the Secretariat recalled that, in response to decision VIII/21 of the Eighth Meeting of the Parties, it had circulated a list of all the reporting requirements under the Protocol and in the decisions of the Meetings of the Parties and had requested all the Parties to communicate their views on which of the reporting provisions they considered essential for assessing compliance with the Protocol, which might no longer be necessary and what possible improvements could be made to the reporting formats. The Secretariat had compiled the responses and presented them to the Implementation Committee at its seventeenth meeting.

11. Following that meeting, the Secretariat had further revised the draft formats, taking into account the views expressed by Parties and the discussion within the Implementation Committee during its seventeenth meeting (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/17/3, paras. 26-44). Those revised draft formats were now before the Committee for its consideration. The Secretariat concluded by noting that many Parties had expressed the view that there were currently too many reporting requirements, including some which were no longer necessary, given subsequent decisions by Meetings of the Parties. The revised draft formats represented an attempt to obtain the required information in a coherent, organized and simplified manner.

12. In the course of the subsequent discussion under this item, the Committee took note of the following suggestions with regard to the new formats and for streamlining the reporting requirements under the Protocol:

(a) To move the forms most likely to be completed by all Parties, including low-volume and very low-volume-consuming countries, to the front of

/...

the form section, and to note this organizational matter clearly in the cover letter and instructions;

(b) To add a clear statement in the covering letter and the instructions making it clear that the forms cover all reporting requirements faced by the Parties and indicating the Articles of the Protocol and decisions of the Meeting of Parties to which they relate;

(c) To include at the appropriate place in the instructions a heading "Definitions";

(d) To develop a data reporting handbook to accompany the forms and which would provide detailed information to the Parties regarding: the exact date needed to complete each form; where and how to obtain the necessary data; and which officers in the Implementing Agencies, Fund and Ozone Secretariats to contact for further assistance;

(e) To harmonize the presentation of, and references to, ozone-depleting substances in the various forms;

(f) To provide the Parties and Implementing Agencies with precise, written descriptions of the procedures and timetables used by the Ozone and Fund Secretariats in requesting data, including all relevant forms;

(g) To reduce the volume of forms by including methyl bromide on the same form as other controlled substances and to put the destruction and export information on a single form;

(h) To request that the Parties clarify the term "use" in Article 2H of the Protocol as it relates to reporting requirements for methyl bromide;

(i) To harmonize reporting dates between the Fund and Ozone Secretariats and to introduce a single set of reporting forms that could be sent to Parties at a single time;

(j) To include, probably as a footnote, on form 3, in the column "quantities destroyed", the phrase "having taken into account efficiency of destruction facilities", in order to align it with the relevant decision by the Parties;

(k) To amend the heading of column 3 in form 4 and similar columns in forms 5, 6 and 7, to read "total quantities" rather than "quantities", in order to emphasize, as do the instructions for the form, that this column

/...

must include ODS to be used as feedstock or for quarantine and pre-shipment purposes, as appropriate;

(1) To remove from form 5 the column labelled "quantity of new substances expected to be used as critical agricultural uses", as critical uses could only be relevant following a phase-out date for a particular ODS.

13. The Implementation Committee decided that the draft forms, as contained in annex I to this report, should be submitted to the Open-Ended Working Group during its fifteenth meeting and the Parties requested to submit written comments to the Secretariat by 31 July 1997. The President of the Committee should meet inter-sessionally with interested parties to revise these formats further on the basis of the comments received and present the revised forms to the Implementation Committee at its next meeting.

IV. INFORMATION FROM LATVIA (DECISION VIII/22; LITHUANIA (DECISION VIII/23) AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE AT ITS SEVENTEENTH MEETING (PARA. 17 OF DOCUMENT UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/17/3) AND RUSSIAN FEDERATION DECISION VIII/25) AND DECISION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE AT ITS SEVENTEENTH MEETING (PARA. 25 OF DOCUMENT UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/17/3)

Latvia

14. The Secretariat reported that, since the seventeenth meeting of the Implementation Committee, Latvia had, as requested by the Eighth Meeting of the Parties, submitted to the Secretariat a timetable for the ratification of the London Amendment, together with its country programme for the phase-out of ODS up to the year 2000, which had been prepared in collaboration with UNDP and UNEP. Those documents had been circulated to all members of the Committee. According to the information provided, the necessary documents for ratification would be submitted to the Cabinet of Ministers in September and, subject to the positive decision of the Cabinet, Latvia would ratify the London and Copenhagen Amendments in October 1997.

15. The Implementation Committee decided:

(a) To note the timetable for the ratification of the London Amendment of the Montreal Protocol provided by Latvia and urge Latvia to ratify the London Amendment by October 1997 as indicated in their timetable;

(b) To note that according to the information contained in Latvia's

/...

country programme for the phase-out of ozone-depleting substances, Latvia is in a situation of non-compliance with the Montreal Protocol in 1997 and there is a possibility of non-compliance in 1998 so that the Implementation Committee might have to revert to that question that year;

(c) To recommend that, in light of the country's commitment reflected in the country programme and related official communications of Latvia to the Parties in line with decision VIII/22, international assistance, particularly by the GEF, should be considered favourably in order to provide funding to Latvia for projects to implement the country programme for phasing out ozone-depleting substances in the country;

(d) To keep under review the situation with regard to ODS phase-out in Latvia.

Lithuania

16. The Secretariat reported that, since the seventeenth meeting of the Implementation Committee, Lithuania had, as requested by the Eighth Meeting of the Parties, submitted to the Secretariat a timetable for the ratification of the London Amendment, together with its country programme for the phase-out of ODS up to the year 2000, which had been prepared in collaboration with UNDP and UNEP. Those documents had been circulated to all members of the Committee. According to the information provided, Lithuania would ratify the London and Copenhagen Amendments in September 1997.

17. The representative of Lithuania said that, as requested by the Implementation Committee at its seventeenth meeting, her country had submitted to the Secretariat detailed information, including its country programme for phase-out of ODS over the period 1997-2000. Lithuania expected to ratify the Montreal Protocol in September 1997: the proposal had already been prepared by the Ministry of Environment and had been circulated for comments among concerned departments. Responses were due by 30 June, after which the full documentation would be submitted for government approval.

18. The Implementation Committee decided:

(a) To note the timetable for the ratification of the London Amendment to the Montreal Protocol provided by Lithuania and urge Lithuania to ratify the London Amendment by September 1997 as indicated in their timetable;

(b) To note that according to the information contained in

/...

Lithuania's country programme for the phase-out of ozone-depleting substances, Lithuania is in a situation of non-compliance with the Montreal Protocol in 1997 and there is a possibility of non-compliance in 1998 so that the Implementation Committee might have to revert to that question that year;

(c) To recommend that, in light of the country's commitment reflected in the country programme and related official communications of Lithuania to the Parties in line with decision VIII/23, international assistance, particularly by the GEF, should be considered favourably in order to provide funding to Lithuania for projects to implement the country programme for phasing out ozone-depleting substances in the country;

(d) To keep under review the situation with regard to ODS phase-out in Lithuania.

Russian Federation

19. The Secretariat drew attention to a letter it had received from the Deputy Chairman of the State Committee for Environmental Protection of the Russian Federation (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/18/2/Add.1) providing information in response to the decision taken at the last meeting of the Implementation Committee (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/17/3, para. 25). Also before the Committee were the comments received by Parties that, according to the information provided by the Russian Federation at the Committee's last meeting, Russian Federation had exported ODS to or imported ODS from in 1996 (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/18/2/Add.1). In addition to those comments, a letter on the same subject that the Secretariat had only recently received was also circulated to participants.

20. The representative of the Russian Federation said that, despite the great economic difficulties facing it, his country was endeavouring to achieve a situation of compliance with the Montreal Protocol. With the introduction of the new system of export and import controls in mid-1996, imports of ODS had seen a ninety-fold decrease in the second half of the year, as compared to the first six months. The Russian Federation was committed to not exporting ODS to non-Article 5 countries, apart from members of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) and there had indeed been no exports to non-Article 5 countries since the new controls had been put in place, except for some quantities of recycled substances to the United States, although most of those shipments had been refused entry. The Russian Federation continued to export to the countries of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) but intended to reduce the amounts involved, which were purely for the internal needs of those countries and not for re-export.

/...

The CIS countries had also been requested to make use of the recycling facilities in the Russian Federation. Efforts were under way to set up a recovery and recycling system, as the current lack of a collection system and the great distances involved mean that recycled ODS were much more expensive than virgin substances. Nevertheless, several hundred tonnes of ODS would be recycled in 1997. Production of ODS had fallen from 40,000 to 17,000 tonnes since 1995. A quota system for production was in place and would be reviewed in detail in mid-1997. Under that system, by which the amounts allocated were reduced every year, production would be phased out by the year 2000. Conversion of facilities was, however, dependent on the release of the funds that had been approved for projects in the country, very little of which had been forthcoming to date.

21. The representative of Poland asked for the name of the importer of the ODS that, according to the information provided by the Russian Federation, had been exported to Poland in 1996. The Polish authorities had given no permission for such an import, which suggested that the case might involve smuggling.

22. In response, the representative of the Russian Federation said that he was unclear on the procedure for releasing confidential information at the request of a foreign Government.

23. At the request of the Committee, the Secretariat stated in closed session that it would follow-up on the issue by making formal, written enquiries to Poland and the Russia Federation asking for their comments on the matter.

24. The representative of the European Community said that, as could be seen from the letter circulated to participants, the European Union, and specifically the United Kingdom, had imported a small amount of CFC-113 from the Russian Federation in 1996 under an essential-use exemption. Other quantities of ODS had been imported for inward processing, but that was a different issue. It was, however, a matter of some concern that the figures provided by the Russian Federation did not match the Commission's records, which were compiled on the basis of reports from national customs authorities. On the general question of imports of ODS into the European Union, he said that a community-wide system of quotas was in place and imports for use within the European Union were permitted only for essential uses.

25. One member of the Committee, representing a member State of the European Union, stressed that permission to import for essential uses under

/...

the quota system was accorded strictly on a case-by-case basis, taking into account, inter alia, past levels of consumption by the applicant. It should also be noted that the full amounts of the quotas were not necessarily allocated.

26. Following the discussion, the Implementation Committee decided:

(a) To note the detailed information reported by the Russian Federation in response to decision VIII/25 of the Eighth Meeting of the Parties on quantities of imports and exports of ODS and products containing such substances; data on the type of ODS (new, recovered, recycled, reclaimed, reused, used as feedstock); details of suppliers, recipient countries and conditions of delivery of the substances for 1996;

(b) To note with appreciation the clarifications on details of imports and/or exports of ODS from the Russian Federation in 1996, provided by some Parties mentioned in the Russian Federation's submission to the Implementation Committee;

(c) To note the information reported by the Russian Federation in response to the Implementation Committee's request (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/17/3, para. 25 (f) and decision VIII/25) regarding information on ways in which the Russian Federation was maximizing the use of its recycling facilities to meet internal needs and to diminish production of new CFCs;

(d) To note that the Russian Federation was in a situation of non-compliance with the Protocol for 1996 and there is an expectation of non-compliance in 1997 so that the Implementation Committee might have to revert to this question at the appropriate time;

(e) To note also that the Russian Federation had exported both new and reclaimed substances to some Parties operating under Article 5 and those Parties not operating under that Article and had imported small quantities of ODS from the Russian Federation in 1996;

(f) To note further that the Russian Federation has started implementation of its export control of ozone-depleting substances from July 1996 by not exporting any ODS including used, new, recycled or reclaimed to any Party with the exception of Parties operating under Article 5 and of Parties that are members of the Commonwealth of Independent States, including Belarus and Ukraine, as per decision VII/18;

(g) To remind all Parties and regional economic integration

/...

organizations that pursuant to decision IV/14 of the Fourth Meeting of the Parties, all cases of import and re-export of ODS should be treated as two separate transactions: the country of origin should report shipment to the country of intermediate destination, which subsequently should report the import from the country of origin and the export to the country of final destination, while the country of final destination should report the import;

(h) To request the Russian Federation to report to the Implementation Committee at its meeting in September 1997, on the efforts under way to set up a recovery and recycling system to alleviate the difficulties in securing recycled ODS due to lack of a collection system and the great distances involved in such collection;.

(i) To keep under review the situation regarding the phase-out of ozone-depleting substances in the Russian Federation.

V. OTHER MATTERS

Preliminary report of the Secretariat on the reporting of data provided by the Parties for 1995 in accordance with Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol

27. The Secretariat drew the attention of the Committee to a list of Parties that had not yet reported the required ODS data for 1995 (see annex II below). The Secretariat appealed to members of the Committee and the representatives of the Implementing Agencies to hold bilateral discussions with representatives of the countries considered and urge them to submit the required data.

Harmonizing data submitted to the Ozone and Fund Secretariats

28. Recalling the views expressed during recent meetings of the Implementation Committee, the Executive Committee and the Open-ended Working Group, and responding to specific questions by representatives of the Implementing Agencies, the Secretariat outlined its practices and timetables for assisting Parties in meeting their data reporting obligations under the Protocol as well as its analysis of opportunities for harmonizing the data submitted to the Fund Secretariat. The Secretariat stated that, in its view, different sets of data were currently required by the rules under which the Ozone Secretariat and Fund Secretariat operated, respectively. Although it was indeed a valuable goal, harmonizing these requirements, including the

/...

dates by which data should be submitted, presented many challenges.

29. The representative of the Fund Secretariat also underlined the importance of this issue, highlighting three sets of data that needed to be harmonized to improve operations of the Multilateral Fund and future discussions of compliance by Article 5 Parties with the control measures. Those were the figures submitted to the Ozone Secretariat, the figures contained in the country programmes, and the figures contained in project implementation reports.

30. The representative of UNDP expressed the view that the data issue in general had become potentially embarrassing for all concerned due to sometimes significant difference between the data submitted to the Ozone Secretariat and that found in particular country programmes. He noted that data inaccuracies made it difficult to ascertain such important points as which sectors of ODS use are increasing within a particular country and which Parties could properly be classified as LVCs.

31. The representative of UNEP suggested that the Ozone and Fund Secretariats send one common request for information to the Parties containing all the required forms. The representative of UNIDO emphasized the dynamic character of the ODS control process in developing countries and the impact this has on data collection, tabulation and submission overtime.

32. Members of the Committee expressed concern for the lack of harmonization in the data submitted to the Ozone and Fund Secretariat and highlighted the importance of its amelioration. Common request letters, data forms, submission dates, follow-up procedures and Ozone focal points were among the options discussed.

Statement by the countries participating in the second Intergovernmental Consultative Meeting for Countries with Economies in Transition on the Montreal Protocol (Tashkent, 15-16 May 1997)

33. The representative of UNEP drew the attention of the Committee to the statement by the countries participating in the second Intergovernmental Consultative Meeting for Countries with Economies in Transition on the Montreal Protocol, held in Tashkent on 15-16 May 1997. In their statement, the participating countries Azerbaijan, Kazakstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan expressed their wish to inform the Implementation Committee and the Parties to the Montreal Protocol that:

/...

(a) They would continue to be committed to the protection of the stratospheric ozone layer; and they confirmed their commitment to the accelerated ratification of the Vienna Convention and the Montreal Protocol and its amendments;

(b) Because of the unique political and historical factors in the region, special treatment should be granted to those countries in respect of compliance with the provisions of the London Amendment.

34. The Implementation Committee took note of the statement.

VI. DATE AND PLACE OF THE NINETEENTH MEETING OF THE IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

35. The Implementation Committee decided that its Nineteenth Meeting would be held in Montreal on Monday, 8 September 1997.

VII. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT

36. The present report was adopted at the closing session of the Meeting, on 4 June 1997, on the basis of the draft report as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/18/L.1.

VIII. CLOSURE OF THE MEETING

37. After the customary exchange of courtesies, the President declared the eighteenth meeting of the Implementation Committee closed at 7.30 p.m. on Wednesday, 4 June 1997.

30 May 1997

Annex I

Country:.....

Questionnaire

Q1. Does your Country produce CFCs, Halons, Carbon Tetrachloride, Methyl Chloroform or HCFCs?

If Yes, go to Data Form 1 and fill in the relevant data. Please read carefully Instruction I on page 4 before filling in the forms. If No, go to Question 2.

Q2. Does your Country produce Methyl Bromide? Yes No

If yes go to Data Form 2 and fill in the relevant Data. Please read carefully Instruction I on page 4 before filling in the forms. If No go to Question 3.

Q3. Has your Country destroyed any ODS? Yes No

If Yes, go to Data Form 3. Please read carefully Instruction II on page 5 before filling in the form. If No, ignore Data Form 3 and go to Question 4.

Q4. Does your Country export CF s, Halons, Carbon Tetrachloride, Methyl Chloroform or HCFCs? Yes No

If Yes, go to Data Form 4 and fill in the relevant data. Please read carefully Instruction III on page 5 before filling in the forms. If No, go to Question 5.

Q5. Does your Country export Methyl Bromide? Yes No

If Yes, go to Data Form 5 and fill in the relevant data. Please read carefully Instruction III on page 5 before filling in the form. If No, go to Question 6.

Q6. Does your country import CF s, Halons, Carbon Tetrachloride, Methyl Chloroform or HCFCs? Yes No

If Yes, go to Data Form 6 and fill in the relevant data. Please read carefully Instruction IV on page 6 before filling in the forms. If No, go to Question 6.

Q7. Does your Country import Methyl Bromide? Yes No

If Yes, go to Data Form 7 and fill in the relevant data. Please read carefully Instruction IV on page 6 before filling in the form. If No, ignore Data Form 7.

/...

Name of reporting Officer:.....

Designation:.....

Department:.....

Country:.....

Date:.....

Annual Data Reporting

I INTRODUCTION

The data forms have been changed to make reporting easier for the Parties.

The major changes are as follows:

- (a) Seven separate data forms are provided for production, destruction, exports and imports of ozone depleting substances (ODSs). Many Parties who do not produce, destroy or export any of the ODS need use only the imports data forms.
- (b) The forms are made shorter by providing space only for the commonly used Other CFCs (Annex B, Group I) and HCFCs (Annex C, Group I) and a few blank rows are provided for more substances of these Groups, if used. HBFCs (Annex C, Group II) have already been phased out by all the Parties, hence, only one blank row has been provided for them, as a formality. The Parties are free to use additional forms if they require. If they use computerised forms, which the Secretariat will supply, they will have more space automatically.
- (c) To take proper account of the exempted categories of ODSs (feedstock for all the substances, essential uses for Annexes A, B and C substances as approved from time to time, and Quarantine and Preshipment applications and critical agricultural uses for methyl bromide, as and when finalized), it is necessary for each Party to specify how much of its production, export and import is used for these exempted categories. The Secretariat will deduct these exempted quantities from the total figures. Provision is made in the data forms for such report.
- (d) The same forms can be used for the base and other years.

II GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

- (a) Parties are requested to report the data on all ODSs in metric tonnes, without multiplying by the relevant ODPs (ozone depleting potentials). Data on different isomers of a substance should be reported separately.
- (b) The data reported in accordance with the data forms will be used to determine the calculated levels of consumption, upon which the control measures are based. It is therefore crucial that data be provided separately for each individual substance listed in the formats.
- (c) It should be noted that both paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 7 provide that the Parties should submit the best possible estimates for the base year where actual data are not available.
- (d) The terms "recovery", "recycling" and "reclamation" have been

/...

defined by the Parties as follows (decision IV/24):

- (i) Recovery: The collection and storage of controlled substances from machinery, equipment, containment vessels, etc., during servicing or prior to disposal;
 - (ii) Recycling: The re-use of a recovered controlled substance following a basic cleaning process such as filtering and drying. For refrigerants, recycling normally involves recharge back into equipment. It often occurs "on-site";
 - (iii) Reclamation: The re-processing and upgrading of a recovered controlled substance through such mechanisms as filtering, drying, distillation and chemical treatment in order to restore the substance to a specified standard of performance. It often involves processing "off-site" at a central facility;
- (e) At the fourth meeting of the Parties it was decided (decision IV/14):

"to clarify Article 7 of the amended Protocol so that it is understood to mean that, in cases of transshipment of controlled substances through a third country (as opposed to imports and subsequent re-exports), the country of origin of the controlled substances shall be regarded as the exporter and the country of final destination shall be regarded as the importer. Cases of import and re-export should be treated as two separate transactions; the country of origin would report shipment of the country of intermediate destination, which would subsequently report the import from the country of origin and export to the country of final destination, while the country of final destination would report the import."

INSTRUCTION I: Data on Production of ODSs

1. For reporting data on production of substances of Annex A (CFCs and Halons), Annex B (Other CFCs, Methyl Chloroform and Carbon Tetrachloride) or Annex C (HCFCs and HBFCs), please use Data Form 1. For reporting data on production of Annex E (Methyl Bromide) substances, use Data Form 2. A very limited number of countries in the world produce ODS.
2. The Montreal Protocol does not count ODS which is used as a feedstock for the production of other chemicals. ODS so used is completely transformed in the manufacturing process of the new chemical. Very few countries report feedstock use. If your country used ODS as feedstock within the reporting period, please provide data in the appropriate column of the form, and the quantity of each ODS used for feedstock purposes.
3. Producers are allowed to produce additionally, 10% or 15% of their base-year production to meet the basic domestic needs of Parties operating under Article 5 paragraph 1. If your Country produced ODS for this purpose, please fill in the appropriate column of the form of the amount so produced.
4. In Data Forms 1 and 2, please give the total production of your Country without making any deductions for feedstock, destruction or any other. The quantity of production used within your country for feedstocks and increased production for supply to Parties operating under Article 5, should be filled in the form and not deducted from the total production. In the case of Data Form 2 (Methyl Bromide), the quantity used for quarantine and pre-shipment or feedstock, should be filled in the form and not deducted from the total production.
5. The quantity of methyl bromide used for quarantine and pre-shipment (QPS), which is eligible for exemption, should be provided in Data Form 2.
6. In Data Form 1, all substances of Annex A and Groups II and III of Annex B have been listed. For Group I of Annex B (Other CFCs) and Group I of Annex C (HCFCs), only a few substances which are normally used have been listed in the form. HBFCs have already been phased out by all Parties and hence, in Group II of Annex C (HBFCs) only one blank row has been provided for them, as a formality. If you are using substances other than those listed, please use the blank space to report data on these substances, or use additional sheets, if necessary.

INSTRUCTION II: Data on Destruction of ODSs

1. Please use Data Form 3 for reporting data on destruction of substances of Annex A (CFCs and Halons), Annex B (Other CFCs, Methyl Chloroform and Carbon Tetrachloride), Annex C (HCFCs and HBFCs) or Annex E (Methyl Bromide).
2. The Montreal Protocol gives credit for the ODS does not count ODS that is destroyed when calculating a country's production, if destruction occurred through the use of a Protocol-approved technology.
3. Very few countries have capacity to destroy ODSs using approved destruction technologies, and to date, no countries have requested destruction credit. If your Country destroyed ODS within the reporting period, please provide the data in this form on the specific quantity of ODS you destroyed.

INSTRUCTION III: Data on Export of ODSs

1. For reporting data on exports of substances of Annex A (CFCs and Halons), Annex B (Other CFCs, Methyl Chloroform and Carbon Tetrachloride) or Annex C (HCFCs or HBFCs), please use Data Form 4. For reporting data on exports of Annex E substance (Methyl Bromide), please use Data Form 5.
2. Approximately 20% of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol export some ODS. Reporting exports is necessary for two purposes: First, they are necessary to determine the level of a country's consumption. Consumption under the Protocol is defined as production plus imports minus exports. Accordingly, if your Country is exporting ODS, please provide the appropriate number of metric tonnes in the form for the relevant new chemicals(s) you exported. If you exported any used or recycled chemicals, you should fill in the data in the appropriate columns. Second, Decision VII/9 para 4 requests the parties to report on the destination of all substances (new or used) that are exported. Accordingly, if your Country exports ODS, fill out the columns on the destination of exports in Data Form 4 or 5, as applicable.
3. The Montreal Protocol does not count ODSs used as feedstock for the production of other chemicals. ODSs so used are completely transformed in the manufacturing process of new chemicals. In Data Form 4 the quantity of new substances exported to be used as feedstock should be filled in the form and not deducted from the quantity of new substances exported.
4. The Montreal Protocol does not count methyl bromide which is used for quarantine and pre-shipment. In Data Form 5 quantities of methyl bromide used for quarantine and pre-shipment should be entered separately and not deducted from the quantity exported.
5. If your Country exports blends of controlled substances e.g. R-502, please report the quantity of the respective controlled substances contained in the blend by filling in the appropriate data under each

/...

controlled substance.

INSTRUCTION IV: Data on Imports of ODSs

1. For reporting data on imports of substances of Annex A (CFCs and Halons), Annex B (Other CFCs, Methyl Chloroform and Carbon Tetrachloride) or Annex C (HCFCs or HBFCs), please use Data Form 6. For reporting data on imports of Annex E substance (Methyl Bromide), please use Data Form 7.
2. Please fill the appropriate number of metric tonnes of ODSs in the form for the relevant new chemical(s) you imported, along with the country of origin of the ODS. If you do not import any ODS or if you import only recovered or recycled ODS, please leave the appropriate column or rows for New Imported Quantities blank.
3. The Montreal Protocol does not count ODSs used as feedstock for the production of other chemicals. ODSs so used are completely transformed in the manufacturing process of the new chemical. In Data Form 6 the quantity of new substances imported to be used as feedstock in your Country should be filled in the form and not deducted from the quantity of new substances exported.
4. The Montreal Protocol does not count methyl bromide which is used for quarantine and pre-shipment. In Data Form 7, quantities of methyl bromide used for quarantine and pre-shipment should be filled in separately and not deducted from the quantity exported.
5. If your country imports blends of controlled substances e.g. R-502, please report the quantity of the respective controlled substances contained in the blend by filling the appropriate data under each controlled substance.

1. Fill out this form only if your country produced CFCs, HCFCs, Halons, Methyl Chloroform or Carbon Tetrachloride.

Data Form 1

DATA ON PRODUCTION

2. Please read carefully Instruction I on page 4 before filling this form.

(in metric tonnes)

Annexes A, B and C substances

Country: _____

Period: January - December 19--

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCES | TOTAL PRODUCTION | QUANTITY OF PRODUCTION USED WITHIN THE COUNTRY FOR FEEDSTOCK | INCREASED PRODUCTION FOR SUPPLY TO ARTICLE 5 COUNTRIES |
|-------------|--|------------------|--|--|
| A-Group I | CFCl ₃ (CFC-11) | | | |
| | CFC ₂ Cl ₂ (CFC-12) | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₃ Cl ₃ (CFC-113) | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₄ Cl ₂ (CFC-114) | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₅ Cl (CFC-115) | | | |
| | TOTAL | | | |
| A-Group II | CF ₂ BrCl (HALON 1211) | | | |
| | CF ₃ Br (HALON 1301) | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₄ Br ₂ (HALON 2402) | | | |
| | TOTAL | | | |
| B-Group I | CF ₃ Cl (CFC-13) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| B-Group II | CCl ₄ (Carbon tetrachloride) | | | |

/...

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCES | TOTAL PRODUCTION | QUANTITY OF PRODUCTION USED WITHIN THE COUNTRY FOR FEEDSTOCK | INCREASED PRODUCTION FOR SUPPLY TO ARTICLE 5 COUNTRIES |
|-------------|--|------------------|--|--|
| B-Group III | C ₂ H ₃ Cl ₃ (Methyl chloroform, i.e., 1,1,1-trichloroethane) | | | |

Data Form 1 (Continued)

Annex C substances

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCE | TOTAL PRODUCTION | QUANTITY OF PRODUCTION USED WITHIN THE COUNTRY FOR FEEDSTOCK | INCREASED PRODUCTION FOR SUPPLY TO ARTICLE 5 COUNTRIES |
|-------------|--|------------------|--|--|
| C-Group I | CHFC ₁₂ (HCFC-21) | | | |
| | CHF ₂ Cl (HCFC-22) | | | |
| | CH ₂ FCl (HCFC-31) | | | |
| | C ₂ HF ₃ Cl ₂ (HCFC-123) | | | |
| | C ₂ HF ₄ Cl (HCFC-124) | | | |
| | C ₂ H ₂ F ₃ Cl (HCFC-133) | | | |
| | CH ₃ CFCl ₂ (HCFC-141b) | | | |
| | CH ₃ CF ₂ Cl (HCFC-142b) | | | |
| | C ₃ HF ₅ Cl ₂ (HCFC-225) | | | |
| | CF ₃ CF ₂ CHCl ₂ (HCFC-225ca) | | | |
| | CF ₂ ClCF ₂ CHClF (HCFC-225cb) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

/...

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCE | TOTAL PRODUCTION | QUANTITY OF PRODUCTION USED WITHIN THE COUNTRY FOR FEEDSTOCK | INCREASED PRODUCTION FOR SUPPLY TO ARTICLE 5 COUNTRIES |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | |
| C-Group II | HBFCs | | | |

1. Fill out this form only if your Country produced Methyl Bromide.

2. Please read carefully Instruction I on page 4 before filling this form.

Country: _____

Data Form 2

DATA ON PRODUCTION

(in metric tonnes)

Annex E (Methyl Bromide)

Period: January - December 19--

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCE | TOTAL PRODUCTION | QUANTITY OF PRODUCTION USED WITHIN THE COUNTRY FOR FEEDSTOCK | INCREASED PRODUCTION FOR SUPPLY TO ARTICLE 5 COUNTRIES | QUANTITY USED FOR QUARANTINE & PRE-SHIPMENT |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|--|---|
| E-Group I | CH ₃ Br METHYL BROMIDE | | | | |

1. Fill out this form only if your Country exported Methyl Bromide.

2. Please read carefully Instruction III on page 5 before filling this form.

Data Form 5
DATA ON EXPORTS

(in metric tonnes)

Annex E Substance (Methyl Bromide)

Country: _____

Period: January - December 19--

| SUBSTANCE | COUNTRY OF DESTINATION OF EXPORTS | QUANTITIES | | | QUANTITY OF NEW SUBSTANCES EXPORTED TO BE USED AS FEEDSTOCK | QUANTITY OF NEW SUBSTANCES EXPORTED TO BE USED AS CRITICAL AGRICULTURAL USES | QUANTITY OF NEW SUBSTANCES TO BE USED FOR QUARANTINE AND PRE-SHIPMENT |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---|--|---|
| | | NEW | RECOVERED | RECLAIMED | | | |
| CH ₃ Br METHYL BROMIDE | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

1. Fill out this form only if your Country imported
CFCs, HCFCs, Halons, Methyl Chloroform or Carbon
Tetrachloride.

Data Form 6

DATA ON IMPORTS

2. Please read carefully Instruction IV on page 6
before filling this form.

(in metric tonnes)

Annexes A, B and C substances

Country: _____

Period: January - December 19--

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCES | COUNTRY OF ORIGIN OF IMPORT | QUANTITIES IMPORTED | | | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED AS FEEDSTOCK | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED TO BE USED AS ESSENTIAL USES |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | | NEW | RECOVERED | RECLAIMED | | |
| A-Group I | CFCl ₃ (CFC-11) | | | | | | |
| | CFC ₂ Cl ₂ (CFC-12) | | | | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₃ Cl ₃ (CFC-113) | | | | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₄ Cl ₂ (CFC-114) | | | | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₅ Cl (CFC-115) | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL | | | | | | |
| A-Group II | CF ₂ BrCl (HALON 1211) | | | | | | |
| | CF ₃ Br (HALON 1301) | | | | | | |
| | C ₂ F ₄ Br ₂ (HALON 2402) | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL | | | | | | |
| B-Group I | CF ₃ Cl (CFC-13) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

/...

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCES | COUNTRY OF ORIGIN OF IMPORT | QUANTITIES IMPORTED | | | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED AS FEEDSTOCK | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED TO BE USED AS ESSENTIAL USES |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | | NEW | RECOVERED | RECLAIMED | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| B-Group II | CCl ₄ (Carbon tetrachloride) | | | | | | |
| B-Group III | C ₂ H ₃ Cl ₃ (Methyl chloroform, i.e., 1,1,1-trichloroethane) | | | | | | |
| C-Group I | CHFCl ₂ (HCFC-21) | | | | | | |
| | CHF ₂ Cl (HCFC-22) | | | | | | |
| | CH ₂ FCI (HCFC-31) | | | | | | |
| | C ₂ HF ₃ Cl ₂ (HCFC-123) | | | | | | |
| | C ₂ HF ₄ Cl (HCFC-124) | | | | | | |
| | C ₂ H ₂ F ₃ Cl (HCFC-133) | | | | | | |
| | CH ₃ CFCl ₂ (HCFC-141b) | | | | | | |
| | CH ₃ CF ₂ Cl (HCFC-142b) | | | | | | |
| | C ₃ HF ₅ Cl ₂ (HCFC-225) | | | | | | |
| | CF ₃ CF ₂ CHCl ₂ (HCFC-225ca) | | | | | | |
| | CF ₂ CICF ₂ CHCIF (HCFC-225cb) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| ANNEX/GROUP | SUBSTANCES | COUNTRY OF ORIGIN OF IMPORT | QUANTITIES IMPORTED | | | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED AS FEEDSTOCK | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED TO BE USED AS ESSENTIAL USES |
|-------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | | NEW | RECOVERED | RECLAIMED | | |
| | TOTAL | | | | | | |
| C-Group II | HBFCs | | | | | | |

1. Fill out this form only if your Country imported Methyl Bromide.

2. Please read carefully Instruction IV on page 6 before filling this form.

Data Form 7

DATA ON IMPORTS

(in metric tonnes)

Annex E Substance (Methyl Bromide)

Country: _____

Period: January - December 19--

| SUBSTANCE | COUNTRY OF ORIGIN OF IMPORTS | QUANTITIES IMPORTED | | | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED AS FEEDSTOCK | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES USED FOR QUARANTINE AND PRESHIPMENT |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | NEW | RECOVERED | RECLAIMED | | |
| CH ₃ Br METHYL BROMIDE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| SUBSTANCE | COUNTRY OF ORIGIN OF IMPORTS | QUANTITIES IMPORTED | | | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES IMPORTED AS FEEDSTOCK | QUANTITIES OF NEW SUBSTANCES USED FOR QUARANTINE AND PRESHIPMENT |
|-----------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | NEW | RECOVERED | RECLAIMED | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Annex I

Page 1

Annex IIParties that have not yet reported the ODS data for 1995Parties with approved country programmes
under the Multilateral FundOthers

Argentina
Bahamas
Bangladesh
Colombia
Cuba
Democratic People's Republic of Korea
Fiji
Gambia
Honduras
Lebanon
Panama
Paraguay
Syrian Arab Republic
The former Republic of
Macedonia
Trinidad and Tobago
Uganda

Antigua and Barbuda
Austria
Bosnia and
Herzegovina
Brunei Darussalam
Chad
Comoros
Cyprus
Dominica
Gabon
Grenada
Italy
Kiribati
Latvia
Libyan Arab
Jamahiriya
Liechtenstein
Mali
Marshall Islands
Micronesia,
Federated States
of
Monaco
Nepal
Nicaragua
Samoa
Saudi Arabia
Slovakia
Solomon Islands
Turkmenistan
Tuvalu
Vanuatu
Yugoslavia
Zaire

/...

Annex III

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

AUSTRALIA

Ms Carey Robinson
Assistant Director
Environment Protection Group
Ozone Protection Section
Environment Australia
40 Blackall St. Barton
Canberra ACT 2600
Australia
Tel: (61 6) 274 1481
Fax: (61 6) 274 1172
E-mail: crobinson@dist.gov.au

CANADA

Mr. Denis Langlois
Manager
Stratospheric Ozone
Global Air Issues Branch
Environmental Protection Service
351 St. Joseph Blvd., Hull
Quebec K1A 0H3
Canada
Tel: (819) 953-1676
Fax: (819)994-0549
E-mail: denis.langlois@ec.gc.ca

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Mr. Rafael Veloz
Coordinator, Governmental Ozone Committee
Secretariat de Estado de Agricultura
Km 7 1/2 Duarte Road
Santo Domingo D.N.
Rep. Dominicana
Tel: (809) 547 2585
Fax: (809) 221 1186, 227 1281

GERMANY

Dr. Heinrich W. Kraus
Head of Division
Federal Ministry of the Environment, Nature
Conservation and Nuclear Safety
P.O. Box 120629
D- 53048
Bonn, Germany
Tel: (49 228) 305 2750
Fax: 49 228) 305 3524

Telex: 885 790 bmuol
Dr. Peter Stoermer
Project Manager PROKLIMA
Division 4020
Environmental Protection and Conservation of
Natural Resources
Dag-Hammarskjold - Weg 1-5
Postfach 5180
65726 Eschborn
Germany
Tel: (49 6196)79-2178
Fax: (49 6196)79-6318
Telex: 4-07-501-0 gtz d
E-mail: Proklima@GTZ.de

GHANA

Dr. Peter Claver Acquah
Executive Director
Environmental Protection agency
P.O. Box M.326
Accra , Ghana
Tel: (233 21) 664697/8; 780175/9

Mr. Charles Asare
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
P.O. Box M. 326
Accra, Ghana
Tel: 23321-664-697
Fax: 23321-662690
E-mail: epainto@ncs.com.gh

INDIA

Mr. Anil Agarwal
Director
Ozone Cell
Ministry of Environment and Forests
Zone IV
2nd Floor, India Habitat Centre
Lodhi Road
110 003 New Delhi
India
Tel: (91 11) 464 2176; 460 2601
Fax: (91 11) 464 2175; 464 2176

INDONESIA

Dr. R.T.M. Sutamihardja
Deputy Assistant Minister
JI. D.I. Panjaitan Dav. 42
Kebon Nanas Jatinegara
Jakarta Timur 13410
Indonesia

Tel: (62 21) 858 1764; 851 7164
Fax: (62 21) 858 0111

LITHUANIA

Ms. Marija Teriosina
Ozone Focal Point
Ministry of Environment
A. Juozapaviciaus Str. 9
2600 Vilnius, Lithuania
Tel: (37 02) 722 509
Fax: (37 02) 728 020

NEW ZEALAND

Mr. Iain McGlinchy
Ozone Protection Programme
Ministry of the Environment
P.O. Box 10362
Wellington
New Zealand
Tel: (64 4) 498 7498
Fax: (64 4) 471 0195
E-mail: im@mfe.govt.nz

SRI LANKA

Dr. Janaka Ratnasiri
Coordinator, Montreal Protocol
Environment Division
Montreal Protocol
Ministry of Transport & Environment
04 Colombo
Sri Lanka
Tel: (94 1) 59 29 27
Fax: (94 1) 59 29 27
E-mail: janakar@sri.lanka.net

UKRAINE

Dr. Vladimir Demkin
Head of the analysis Division
Ministry of Environment
5, Khreschatyk St
Kyiv 1, Ukraine
Tel: (380 44) 228 0786
Fax: (380 44) 229 8050
E-mail: demkin@mep.freenet.kiev.ua

URUGUAY

Mr. Luis Santos
Ministerio del Ambiente

Zabala 1440 Planta Baja
Montevideo
Uruguay
Tel: (59 82) 961 899
Fax: (59 82) 961 895

ZAMBIA

Mr. Mathias Banda
Director/ODS Officer Coordinator
Natural Resources Department
P.O. Box 50042
Lusaka, Zambia
Tel: (26 01) 252 952/238 427
Fax: (26 01) 252 953/427

MULTILATERAL FUND SECRETARIAT

Mr. Tony Hetherington
Deputy Chief Officer
Multilateral Fund Secretariat
1800 McGill College Avenue
Montreal, Canada
Tel: (1 514) 282 1122
Fax: (1 514) 282 0068
E-mail: tonyh@unmfs.org

Mr. R. Abrokwa-Ampadu
Project Management Officer
Multilateral Fund Secretariat
1800 McGill College Avenue
Montreal, Canada
Tel: (1 514) 282 1122
Fax: (1 514) 282 0068
E-mail: ampadu@unmfs.org

UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (UNDP)

Mr. Frank Pinto
Principal Technical Adviser
and Chief, Montreal Protocol Unit (MPU)
EAP/SEED/BPPS/UNDP
Room FF-9116
304 East 45th Street
New York N.Y. 10017
United States of America
Tel: (1 212) 906 5042
Fax: (1 212) 906 6947
E-mail: frank.pinto@undp.org

Ms. Suely M. Carvalho
Senior Technical Adviser
Montreal Protocol Unit
EAP/SEED/BPPS/UNDP

Room FF-9124
304 East 45th Street
New York N.Y. 10017
United States of America
Tel: (1 212) 906 6687
Fax: (1 212) 906 6947
E-mail: suely.carvalho@undp.org
UNEP INDUSTRY AND ENVIRONMENT CENTRE (UNEP/IE)

Mr. Rajendra Shende
Coordinator, OzonAction Programme
Industry and Environment
Tour Mirabeau
39-43 Quai andre Citroen
75739 Paris Cedex 15
France
Tel: (33 1) 44 37 14 59
Fax: (33 1) 44 37 14 74
E-mail: rmshende@unep.fr

Ms. Ruma Tavorath
Environmental Affairs Officer
ozonAction Programme
Industry and Environment
Tour Mirabeau
39-43 Quai andre Citroen
75739 Paris Cedex 15
France
Tel: (33 1) 44 37 14 59
Fax: (33 1) 44 37 14 74
E-mail: rtavorath@unep.fr

Mr. Steve Gorman
Network Manager
Industry and Environment
Tour Mirabeau
39-43 Quai Andre Citroen
75739 Paris Cedex 15
France
Tel: (33 1) 44 37 14 59
Fax: (33 1) 44 37 14 74
E-mail: sgorman@unep.fr

**UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL
DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION (UNIDO)**

Mr. Menad Siahmed
UNIDO
Vienna International Centre
A-1400 Wien
Austria
Tel: (43 1) 211 313 782
Fax: 43 1) 211 316 804
E-mail: sidi.diahmed@unido.org

WORLD BANK

Mr. Erik Pedersen
Technical Advisor
Montreal Protocol Operations
Environment Department
1818 Street, N.W.
Washington DC 20433
USA
Tel: (1 202) 473 5877
Fax: (1 202) 522 3258
E-mail: epedersen@worldbank.org

GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT FACILITY (GEF) (SECRETARIAT)

Mr. Frank Rittner
Environment Specialist
1818 H. Street NW
Washington D.C. 20433
USA
Tel: (1 202) 458 5044
Fax: (1 202) 522 3240/3245
E-mail: frittner@worldbank.org

UNEP TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT PANEL (TEAP)

Dr. Lambert Kuijpers
Technical University WS-404
P.O.Box 513
5600 MB Eindhoven
The Netherlands
Tel: (31 40) 250 3797/247 2487
Fax: (31 40) 246 6627
E-mail: lambermp@pi.net

OZONE SECRETARIAT

Mr. M. Graber
Deputy Executive Secretary
Ozone Secretariat
UNEP
P.O. Box 30552
Nairobi, Kenya
Tel: (254 2) 62 38 55
Fax: (254 2) 62 39 13
E-mail: graberm@unep.org

Mr. Gilbert Bankobeza
Legal Officer
Ozone Secretariat
UNEP
P.O. Box 30552
Nairobi, Kenya
Tel: (254 2) 62 38 54
Fax: (254 2) 62 39 13
E-mail: bankobeg@unep.org

OBSERVERS

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Prof. Solovianov Alexandre
Deputy Chairman
State Committee for the Protection of Environment
B. Gruzinskaya 4/6 St.
123812 Moscow
Russian Federation
Tel: (+70 95) 254 73 01
Fax: (+70 95) 254 8283
Telex: 411692 BOREI
E-mail: konygin@cppi-ho.msk.ru

Mr. Vadim O. Backoumov
Senior Specialist
ODS National Programme Unit
5th Floor, 36 Obrucheveva Str.,
117342 Moscow
Russian Federation
Tel: (+70 95) 334 41 09
Fax: (+70 95) 334 29 33
Telex: 411692 BOREI
E-mail: kouygin@ccpi.ho.msk.ru

Mr. Oleg Chamanov
Environment and Science & Technology Division
Permanent Mission of the Russian Federation to the UN in Geneva
Switzerland
Tel: (41 22) 734 2952/733 8237
Fax: (41 22)734 40 44

Mr. A. Vavkov
Deputy Permanent Representative
Permanent Mission of Russian Federation to UNEP
Lenana Road
P.O Box 30049
Nairobi, Kenya
Tel: 254-2-722-462/728-700
Fax: 254-2-721-888
Telex: 25261 RUS EM

POLAND

Mr. Ryszard Purski
Senior Specialist
Department of Environmental Protection Systems
Warsaw, Wawelska Street 52/54
00-922 Warsaw
Poland
Tel: (+48 22) 25 45 60
Fax: (+48 22) 25 20 03
E-mail: rpurski@mos.gov.pl

Ms. Barbara Yolanta Kucnerrowica-Polak
State Fire Service Supreme Hqs
00-950 Warsaw
P.O. Box 20
36/38 Domaniewska St.
Poland
Tel: (+48 22)60 11 567
Fax: (+48 22)62 86 575
E-mail: kgpsp@ikp.atm.com.pl

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY

Mr. Per Rosenqvist
Expert to the E.C. Ozone Layer Protection
Nuclear Safety and Vicil Protection
Rue de la Loi/Wetstraat 200,
B-1049 Bruxelles/Brussel
Belgium
Tel: (+32 2) 295 57 81
Fax: (+32 2) 296 95 57
Internet: per rosenqvist@dg11.cec.be
