**[List of issues arising from first Replenishment Contact Group meeting for possible consideration by TEAP in a supplementary report on replenishment 2018-2020**

**From general questions and responses:**

1. Paragraph 3 of Terms of Reference as expressed in decision XXVIII/5:

*“That the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel should provide indicative figures of the resources within the estimated funding required for phasing out HCFCs that could be associated with enabling Article 5 parties to encourage the use of low-GWP or zero-GWP alternatives and indicative figures for any additional resources that would be needed to further encourage the use of low-GWP or zero-GWP alternatives”;*

1. Cost effectiveness figures in MT, ODP-tonnes and CO2 equivalent
2. Clearer distinction between costs associated with HCFC-related and HFC-related activities
3. Scenario comparing previously approved projects with business plan estimates on an annual basis in relation to determining uncertainty for planned activities
4. Accounting for recent ExCom decisions

**Funding components**

**HPMP activities (TEAP Report, Chapter 3)**

1. Scenarios for considering:
   1. HPMP stage III implementation activities in particular according to existing commitments in the servicing sector
   2. stage III activities are deferred to the next triennium
2. TEAP to consider scenario where the non-LVC and LVC planned activities which are not necessary to meet the 35% phaseout target are deferred to the next triennium

**HCFC Production Phaseout** (Chapter 4)

1. China HPPMP Stage II and related ExCom assumptions in upcoming meeting
2. Consider different scenario involving 2 tranches in the 2018-2020 triennium

**Non-investment and supporting activities (Chapter 5)**

1. Further thought on how to account for parties with accelerated phaseout in HPMP stage II implementation.
2. Further thought on taking into account HPMP stage III (for parties wishing to achieve the 67.5% and further reductions steps earlier).
3. Scenario where stage III activities are deferred to the next triennium
4. Consider scenario where there is no annual increase to CAP and a scenario where there is an increase of more than 3% to CAP
5. Scenario where there are no HCFC demonstration projects
6. Scenarios for considering:
   1. HPMP stage III preparation activities in particular according to existing commitments in the servicing sector

**HFC phasedown enabling activities (Chapter 6)**

1. Further disaggregation of cost of enabling activities with respect to the different elements addressed.

**HFC-23 mitigation (Chapter 7)**

1. Scenario involving closure of HCFC-22 production plants to deal with HFC-23, with or without existing incineration facilities taking into account swing plants as one of the possible alternatives to control HFC-23 emissions

**Indicative funding requirements for 2021-2023 and 2024-2026 (Chapter 9)**

1. Synergies between HFC- and HCFC-related activities taking into account flexibility criteria under Kigali Amendment
2. Safety standards
3. To look into the costs associated with energy efficiency while phasing down HFCs. [in accordance with decision XXVIII/2] ~~[as per the cost guidelines developed by the ExCom and presented to the Meeting of the Parties for views and inputs]~~