

**Montreal Protocol
on Substances that
Deplete the Ozone Layer**

Distr.: General
9 July 2026
English only

**Open-ended Working Group of the Parties
to the Montreal Protocol on Substances
that Deplete the Ozone Layer
Forty-eighth meeting
Bangkok, 13–17 July 2026
Item 6 of the provisional agenda***

Ensuring the viability of Montreal Protocol operations

**Ensuring the viability of Montreal Protocol operations:
scenarios, options and cost estimates**

Note by the Secretariat

Addendum

I. Introduction

1. The present addendum to the note by the Ozone Secretariat on ensuring the viability of the operations of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (UNEP/OzL.Pro.WG.1/48/4) has been prepared pursuant to decision XXXVII/7 of the Thirty-Seventh Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol. The addendum provides additional information on the work and meetings of the three assessment panels to facilitate the parties' consideration of the possible options for making adjustments to the work cycles of the panels and the modalities of their meetings as part of parties' efforts to enhance the efficiency of the operations of the Montreal Protocol while maintaining the Protocol's effectiveness and overall impact. In accordance with decision XXXVII/7, the addendum is intended to be non-prejudicial with respect to the parties' consideration of the options presented.

2. Section II of the addendum contains information on the assessment panels and their work; on the current assessment cycle; and on the modalities (timing, length, format and frequency) of assessment panel meetings. Section III presents the costs, for the period 2022–2025, of holding panel meetings and of the participation of experts from parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Montreal Protocol (Article 5 parties); the latter costs are covered by the Montreal Protocol Trust Fund and supplemented from other sources of contributions. Section IV presents possible adjustments to the assessment panels' work cycle and meetings.

II. The assessment panels, their work cycles and their meetings

3. The assessment panels of the Montreal Protocol were formally established in 1989 under Article 6 of the Protocol, which provides for a comprehensive assessment of scientific, environmental, technical and economic information relevant to the Protocol, at least every four years, as a basis for the parties to assess the control measures provided for in the Protocol. The Scientific Assessment Panel (SAP), the Environmental Effects Assessment Panel (EEAP) and the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) have carried out nine comprehensive quadrennial assessments from 1991 to

* UNEP/OzL.Pro.WG.1/48/1.

date, and the tenth assessment will be finalized at the end of 2026 for review by the parties in 2027. In the year following the completion of an assessment, the panels' co-chairs meet to produce a synthesis report that analyses the assessment findings of the three panels and presents coherent, integrated information and messages.

4. After the completion of a quadrennial assessment and the synthesis report, the parties take a decision on the terms of reference for the next quadrennial assessment, defining the substantive issues to be addressed by each panel and specifying the time frame for completing the assessment.

5. In addition to the quadrennial assessments, the panels inform the parties of new developments and emerging issues in their annual progress reports. Moreover, TEAP, its technical options committees and its temporary subsidiary bodies have carried out additional specific tasks requested by the parties concerning a wide range of technical issues, including but not limited to replenishment studies, energy efficiency, life-cycle refrigerant management, destruction technologies, essential and critical use nominations for exemptions, and process agent applications, presenting reports on their analysis and evaluation to assist the parties in taking informed decisions.

6. The technical options committees have evolved over time to address issues arising from the key industry sectors that use controlled substances. The parties have periodically established temporary subsidiary bodies such as task forces and working groups for short periods to respond to specific requests by the parties. Currently, the following five technical options committees operate under TEAP:

- (a) Foams Technical Options Committee (FTOC)
- (b) Fire Suppression Technical Options Committee (FSTOC)
- (c) Medical and Chemicals Technical Options Committee (MCTOC)
- (d) Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee (MBTOC)
- (e) Refrigeration Technical Options Committee (RTOC).

7. TEAP is the only panel that has terms of reference (adopted by the parties¹). These terms of reference define its governance arrangements, composition, membership and working procedures, the establishment and operation of technical options committees and temporary subsidiary bodies, and other practical aspects of how the work will be carried out.

8. TEAP, the technical options committees and EEAP meet annually to prepare their annual progress reports. SAP does not meet formally to prepare its annual progress reports, but the co-chairs consult with each other and with other key scientists who participate as members in SAP assessments as necessary.

9. In "assessment years", in which the panels are finalizing their quadrennial assessments, TEAP, the technical options committees and EEAP may meet twice. SAP organizes a review meeting at which the panel's co-chairs, its scientific steering committee, chapter lead authors and review editors,

Table 1

Meetings of the assessment panels in quadrennial assessment finalization years (assessment years) and in ordinary years (non-assessment years)

<i>Panels</i>	<i>Assessment year meetings</i>		<i>Non-assessment year meetings</i>	
	<i>No.</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>No.</i>	<i>Type</i>
SAP	1	Review meeting involving participation of invited scientists/experts	1	Steering Committee planning meeting** usually held in the year before the assessment year.
EEAP	2	Quadrennial assessment, progress report	1	Annual progress report
TEAP	1	Quadrennial assessment, progress report and any additional tasks	1	Annual progress report and response to additional tasks
5 TOCs	2*×5	Quadrennial assessment and response to additional tasks	1×5	Annual progress report and response to additional tasks

Abbreviations: EEAP – Environmental Effects Assessment Panel; SAP – Scientific Assessment Panel; TEAP – Technology and Economic Assessment Panel; TOC – technical options committee.

* Not all TOCs meet twice in an assessment year, so two meetings per TOC is the maximum.

** SAP may organize other meetings such as on chapter coordination but usually costs are covered by the SAP.

¹ The latest terms of reference were adopted by the parties in decision XXIV/8 of the Twenty-Fourth Meeting of the Parties.

together with invited reviewers, discuss the chapter conclusions and the summary for policymakers and agree on the highlights and key messages.

10. The typical number of meetings per panel and per TOC in assessment years and in ordinary (non-assessment) years is shown in table 1 (on p. 2).

11. The assessment panels are made up of leading scientists and experts who generously provide their expertise and time to conduct assessments for the Montreal Protocol and its parties. The number of scientists and experts and the composition in terms of their status as coming from Article 5 parties or parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol (non-Article 5 parties), for each panel and for the technical options committees, are shown in table 2.

Table 2

Composition of panels and technical options committees in 2026

	<i>Total no. of co-chairs</i>	<i>No. of A5 and non-A5 co-chairs</i>	<i>Total no. of members</i>	<i>No. of A5 and non-A5 members</i>
SAP	4	1 A5 3 non-A5	66*	6 A5 60 non-A5 and others
EEAP	2	0 A5 2 non-A5	32	6 A5 26 non-A5
TEAP	3	1 A5 2 non-A5	19	9 A5 10 non-A5
FTOC	2	1 A5 1 non-A5	17	7 A5 10 non-A5
FSTOC	2	0 A5 2 non-A5	18	9 A5 9 non-A5
MCTOC	3	1 A5 2 non-A5	36	14 A5 22 non-A5
MBTOC	2	1 A5 1 non-A5	14	7 A5 7 non-A5
RTOC	4	2 A5 2 non-A5	38	16 A5 22 non-A5

Abbreviations: A5 – from parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5; EEAP – Environmental Effects Assessment Panel; FSTOC – Fire Suppression Technical Options Committee; FTOC – Foams Technical Options Committee; MBTOC – Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee; MCTOC – Medical and Chemicals Technical Options Committee; non-A5 – from parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5; RTOC – Refrigeration Technical Options Committee.

* This is the number of persons attending the SAP review meeting. The participation of only six experts from Article 5 parties is funded. SAP membership information is not yet available.

III. Costs of assessment panel meetings

12. The panels and the technical options committees try to find low-cost venues for their meetings – for example, United Nations venues or the offices of a member who may offer to host the meeting. The participation costs of experts from Article 5 parties are covered by the budget allocated for that purpose under the Montreal Protocol Trust Fund. The participation of some co-chairs and essential members from non-Article 5 parties has been covered under European Union-funded projects that support the work of the assessment panels and by other bilateral support to the panels. The costs of panel and TOC meetings held during the period 2022–2025 are shown in table 3 (on pp. 4–6).

Table 3
Costs incurred for meetings of assessment panels and technical options committees during the period 2022–2025

<i>Year</i>	<i>Meeting details</i>	<i>Venue</i>	<i>Dates</i>	<i>Meeting costs (incl. venue, catering, field trip)</i>	<i>A5 participants</i>	<i>Travel costs for year</i>	<i>Costs as % of total costs of Montreal Protocol Trust Fund for year</i>	<i>Additional notes</i>
2025	SAP Steering Committee	York, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	16 and 17 April	–	3	316 434	6%	Meeting hosted by Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology (EMPA) at no cost
	EEAP	Bari, Italy	29 August–5 September	5 003	7			4 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project) 1 staff member from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	TEAP	London	28 April–2 May	12 940	6			1 staff member from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	FTOC	London	24 and 25 April	2 312	6			Meeting held at IMO at no cost except for catering
	FSTOC	London	31 March–4 April		7			Meeting held at IMO at no cost except for catering
	MCTOC	London	23–25 April		10			Meeting held at IMO at no cost except for catering
	MBTOC	Auckland, New Zealand	25–28 March	2 008	8			1 non-A5 trip covered by EU-funded project
	RTOC (1)	Sønderborg, Denmark	8–11 April	–	17			Meeting held at Danfoss at no cost
	RTOC (2)	Guangzhou, China	28–30 October	–	12			Meeting hosted by Midea at no cost
	TEAP Working Group (XXXV/20)	London	25 April	–	2			Meeting held at IMO at no-cost except for catering
	Subtotal			22 263	78	316 434		
2024	EEAP	Lammi, Finland	14–19 September	9 351	6	179 175	4%	4 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project) 1 staff member from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	TEAP	Rome	29 April–3 May	4 860	6			1 staff member from the Secretariat participated in the meeting

<i>Year</i>	<i>Meeting details</i>	<i>Venue</i>	<i>Dates</i>	<i>Meeting costs (incl. venue, catering, field trip)</i>	<i>A5 participants</i>	<i>Travel costs for year</i>	<i>Costs as % of total costs of Montreal Protocol Trust Fund for year</i>	<i>Additional notes</i>
	FTOC	Brussels	25 and 26 April	–	5			Meeting held at European Diisocyanate and Polyol Producers Association (ISOPA) at no cost; catering paid for by a co-chair
	FTOC	Atlanta, United States of America	3 and 4 October	–	3			Meeting held at Residence Inn by Marriott-Atlanta Downtown at no cost; catering paid for by a co-chair
	FSTOC	Bangkok	24 February–1 March	3 263	5			1 non-A5 trip covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	MCTOC	Paris	15–17 April	752	4			Meeting held at UNEP office in Paris at no cost except for catering
	MBTOC	Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam	11–14 March	2 487	9			2 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	RTOC	Bali, Indonesia	22–24 April	6 31TEAP 8	17			
	TEAP life-cycle refrigerant management task force	London	28 February and 1 March	7 194	8			
		Subtotal		34 224	63	179 175		
2023	Synthesis report (co-chairs of panels)	London	20 and 21 April	–	2			Meeting held at IMO at no cost 2 staff members from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	EEAP	Colorado, United States of America	18–24 September	3 688	6			5 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project) 1 staff member from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	TEAP	London	24–28 April	7 121	5			2 staff members from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	FSTOC	Cairo	6–9 March	1 037	4			1 non-A5 trip covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	MCTOC	London	17–19 April	611	6			IMO hosted
	MBTOC	Philadelphia, United States of America	13–17 March	932	6			
	RTOC co-chairs	Munich, Germany	24–26 January	–	2			The co-chairs met at the hotel they were staying at; hence no venue cost
	RTOC	Paris	26–28 August	5 322	18	276 294	5%	

<i>Year</i>	<i>Meeting details</i>	<i>Venue</i>	<i>Dates</i>	<i>Meeting costs (incl. venue, catering, field trip)</i>	<i>A5 participants</i>	<i>Travel costs for year</i>	<i>Costs as % of total costs of Montreal Protocol Trust Fund for year</i>	<i>Additional notes</i>
	TEAP RTF	Montreal	11–15 February	187	4	276 294		
	TEAP RTF	Bangkok	18 July	209	8			
		Subtotal		19 107	61			
2022	SAP	Geneva	25–29 July	18 628	2	161 038	4%	1 non-A5 trip covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project) 2 staff members from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	EEAP	Kalmar, Sweden	19–27 September	9 838	5			2 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project) 1 staff member from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	TEAP	London	3–7 May	–	6			Meeting costs covered by DEFRA 1 staff member from the Secretariat participated in the meeting
	HTOC	Stockholm	14–16 June	–	5			Meeting costs covered by host HTOC member 1 non-A5 trip covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	RTOC	Paris	9–11 March	–	2			Meeting held at UNEP OzonAction office at no cost
	RTOC	Luxor, Egypt	8–10 December	7 620	11			
		Subtotal		36 086	31			

Abbreviations: A5 – from parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5; DEFRA – Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs; EEAP – Environmental Effects Assessment Panel; EU – European Union; FSTOC – Fire Suppression Technical Options Committee; FTOC – Foams Technical Options Committee; HTOC – Halons Technical Options Committee; IMO – International Maritime Organization; MBTOC – Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee; MCTOC – Medical and Chemicals Technical Options Committee; non-A5 – from parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5; RTF – replenishment task force; RTOC – Refrigeration Technical Options Committee; SAP – Scientific Assessment Panel; TEAP – Technology and Economic Assessment Panel; UNEP – United Nations Environment Programme.

13. In addition to their own meetings, co-chairs of assessment panels participate in meetings of the Open-ended Working Group and in Meetings of the Parties. When necessary, other essential panel and TOC experts from Article 5 countries may receive funding to participate in order to cover specific issues addressed at those meetings. In the year of a meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer, a meeting of the Ozone Research Managers of the Parties to the Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer takes place. The panels' co-chairs participate in meetings of the Ozone Research Managers when that is deemed relevant, and pursuant to decision VI/2 of the Conference of the Parties. In a year in which the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol is due for replenishment, some co-chairs and/or members of the TEAP replenishment task force may attend the meeting(s) of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund. Table 4 provides information on the participation of assessment panel co-chairs and essential experts in Open-ended Working Group meetings, Meetings of the Parties, and meetings of the Ozone Research Managers and of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund during the period 2022–2025.

Table 4

Costs incurred for the participation of co-chairs and essential experts from Article 5 countries in meetings of the Open-ended Working Group and the Ozone Research Managers and in Meetings of the Parties during the period 2022–2025

<i>Year</i>	<i>Meeting details</i>	<i>Venue</i>	<i>Dates</i>	<i>Participants from A5 parties</i>	<i>Travel costs for year</i>	<i>Notes</i>
2025	OEWG47	Bangkok	7–11 July	9	35 350	2 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	MOP37*	Nairobi	3–7 November	9	27 752	2 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	Subtotal			18	63 102	
2024	ORM12	Geneva	24–26 April	1	3 795	3 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	OEWG46	Montreal	8–12 July	12	58 495	1 non-A5 trip covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	COP13/MOP36	Bangkok	28 October–1 November	12	48 584	2 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	Subtotal			25	110 875	
2023	ExCom92	Montreal	29 May–2 June	2	6 218	
	OEWG45	Bangkok	3–7 July	10	41 307	2 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	MOP35	Nairobi	23–27 October	15	61 358	3 non-A5 trips covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	Subtotal			27	108 883	
2022	OEWG44	Bangkok	11–16 July	9	37 312	1 non-A5 trip covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	MOP34	Montreal	31 October–4 November	8	33 880	1 non-A5 trip covered by other sources (e.g. EU-funded project)
	ExCom91	Montreal	4–9 December	1	4 594	
	Subtotal			18	75 786	

Abbreviations: A5 – of parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5; COP – Conference of the Parties; EU – European Union; ExCom – Executive Committee; MOP – Meeting of the Parties; non-A5 – of parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5; OEWG – Open-ended Working Group; ORM – Ozone Research Managers; RTOC – Refrigeration Technical Options Committee.

* Ticket costs for three RTOC members are not included. Those costs are included in table 3, under the RTOC meeting held in Guangzhou, China, since the two meetings were held back to back.

IV. Adjustments to the assessment panel work cycle

14. Adjustments to the work cycle of the assessment panels and the periodicity of their meetings would depend largely on the changes that parties decide to make to the cycles and modalities of meetings of the Open-ended Working Group and Meetings of the Parties. The note by the Secretariat on ensuring the viability of the Montreal Protocol operations (UNEP/OzL.Pro.WG.1/48/4) presented three basic scenarios: business as usual (scenario A); extended annual Meetings of the Parties (scenario B); and biennial Meetings of the Parties (scenario C).

15. While some adjustments could be made to the modalities of assessment panel meetings under the business-as-usual scenario, this section takes document UNEP/OzL.Pro.WG.1/48/4 as a starting point and focuses more on changes in the work cycle and associated adjustments to timing, frequency and format under scenarios B and C.

Scenario B: extended annual Meetings of the Parties

16. Under this scenario, Meetings of the Parties would be held annually in person and meetings of the Open-ended Working Group would be held online. The online meetings would include the presentation and discussion of reports of the assessment panels and deliberations on other issues that can be discussed usefully in an online format. Issues needing to be negotiated in an in-person format would be taken up during extended preparatory segments of Meetings of the Parties.

17. The cycles of the assessments and the replenishment of the Multilateral Fund would remain as under the business-as-usual scenario. Annual progress reporting by the panels would continue, with reports submitted for consideration by the parties in online briefing meetings and at Meetings of the Parties as appropriate. Decisions on the replenishment of the Multilateral Fund would continue to be taken every three years, and thus the cycle of the TEAP study on replenishment would also remain the same. The only change would be that panel representatives would not need to travel to Open-ended Working Group meetings, which would be replaced by online meetings, resulting in savings of some \$45,000.

Scenario C: biennial Meetings of the Parties

18. Under this scenario, Meetings of the Parties would be held biennially. In the years in which Meetings of the Parties are not held, meetings of the Open-ended Working Group would be held. The meetings of the Conference of the Parties to the Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer would move to a quadrennial cycle and the meetings of the Ozone Research Managers, which the panels' co-chairs attend, would also be held quadrennially, either in the year of the meeting of the Conference of the Parties or in the preceding year, as appropriate.

19. If this scenario were chosen, its implementation would start after 2027, when a combined fourteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties and Thirty-Ninth Meeting of the Parties is expected to be held and the terms of reference for the next quadrennial assessment, for completion in 2030, are expected to be decided on. Starting in 2028, the assessment and replenishment cycles could be aligned as follows:

(a) The **quadrennial assessment** cycle remains unchanged, and the next quadrennial assessment should be completed in 2030 for consideration of the results by the parties in 2031. The year 2030 would therefore be an assessment year, with an increased number of panel meetings.

(b) The **frequency of progress reports** by the panels could be reduced from yearly to once every four years; for example, the progress reports could be delivered midway through each quadrennium. Under that scenario, there could be progress reports in 2028 for discussion at the fiftieth meeting of the Open-ended Working Group. Meetings of the panels to prepare the progress report would take place in 2028, so the budget for that year might be comparable to that of a non-assessment year. Unless the parties request the panels to review and report on specific issues, the panels (except TEAP) may not need to hold their meetings in person in 2029 or 2031, but would attend the Fortieth Meeting of the Parties, in 2029, and the combined fifteenth Conference of the Parties and Forty-First Meeting of the Parties in 2031 as appropriate.

(c) If parties decide to change the **replenishment cycle** to a four-year cycle to align with the biennial Meeting of the Parties, the parties may decide to define the next replenishment period (after the 2027–2029 replenishment) to be 2030–2033 in the terms of reference for the replenishment study to be completed by TEAP and considered by the parties in 2029. The TEAP replenishment task force will conduct its work in 2029, which will require the task force to meet and also to travel to the appropriate Executive Committee meetings and the Fortieth Meeting of the Parties in 2029.

20. The reduced frequency and intensity of the assessment and replenishment work cycles under scenario C can be seen from table 5, which is a simplified version of table 1 in the note by the Secretariat (UNEP/OzL.Pro.WG.1/48/4). A further summary of the expected meetings of the assessment panels during the period 2027–2031 under scenario C along with associated cost estimates, consistent with table 3 of the note by the Secretariat, can be found in table 6. The costs of the meetings of the panels in the third column do not include the panel meetings held in conjunction with and at the same venues as the Open-ended Working Group meeting and the Meeting of the Parties because they are included in the budget for those meetings.

21. Irrespective of the work cycles and the number of meetings, meeting costs could be reduced by organizing meetings of TEAP and TOC meetings back to back, where possible, or in conjunction with other meetings in which several members may be participating. TEAP and the technical options committees are already exploring and taking advantage of such possibilities. In addition, selected meetings could be held online, with the proviso that online meetings do not facilitate intricate debate or allow sensitive discussions to be conducted effectively.

Table 5
Possible assessment and replenishment work cycle for biennial Meetings of the Parties – scenario C

<i>Body/activity</i>	<i>2026</i>	<i>2027</i>	<i>2028</i>	<i>2029</i>	<i>2030</i>	<i>2031</i>
ORM		ORM13				ORM14
OEWG	OEWG48	OEWG49	OEWG50		OEWG51	
COP/MOP	MOP38	COP14/MOP39	ExMOP (as needed)	MOP40		COP15/MOP41
Replenishment	Decision for 2027–2029		TOR for study for 2030–2033	Briefing meeting on study Decision for 2030–2033 (4-year cycle starts)		
Assessment	Annual progress reports	Presentation of 2026 quadrennial and synthesis reports TOR for next assessment [Annual progress reports]	[Progress reports]		Finalization of 2030 assessment	Presentation of 2030 quadrennial and synthesis reports TOR for next assessment

Note: When decisions need to be taken, it may be necessary to organize a half-day or one-day extraordinary Meeting of the Parties between ordinary Meetings of the Parties, preferably in conjunction with a meeting of the Open-ended Working Group. In 2028, for example, it may be necessary to decide on the terms of reference for the next quadrennial assessment.

Abbreviations: COP – Conference of the Parties; ExMOP – Extraordinary Meeting of the Parties; MOP – Meeting of the Parties; OEWG – Open-ended Working Group; ORM – Ozone Research Managers; TOR – terms of reference.

Table 6

Further summary of the expected number of meetings of the assessment panels from 2027 to 2031 under scenario C and associated cost estimates

	<i>Meetings</i>	<i>Estimated costs of meetings (excludes OEWG and COP/MOP) (in United States dollars)</i>	<i>Estimated number of co-chairs and experts from A5 parties to participate (average cost of participation \$4,500 per trip)</i>	<i>Estimated costs of participation (in United States dollars)</i>
2027	TEAP – 1 TOCs – 5 EEAP – 1 SAP – 0 Synthesis report OEWG49 COP14/MOP39	55 000	75 participants	350 000
2028 Progress reports	TEAP – 1 TOCs – 5 EEAP – 1 SAP – 0 OEWG50	25 000	55 participants	250 000
2029 Replenishment report	TEAP – 1 TF – 2 MOP40	55 000	65 participants	300 000
2030 (assessment year)	TEAP – 2 TOCs – 10 EEAP – 2 SAP – 1	55 000	85 participants	380 000
2031	TEAP – 1 TOCs – 5 EEAP – 1 SAP – 0 Synthesis report ORM14 COP15/MOP41	25 000	55 participants	250 000

Abbreviations: A5 – from parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5; COP – Conference of the Parties; EEAP – Environmental Effects Assessment Panel; MOP – Meeting of the Parties; OEWG – Open-ended Working Group; ORM – Ozone Research Managers; SAP – Scientific Assessment Panel; TEAP – Technology and Economic Assessment Panel; TF – Trust Fund; TOC – technical options committee.